Welcome to HRI 2016 in New Zealand!

We are excited to welcome you to the Eleventh Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI 2016). The HRI Conference is a highly selective, single track, international meeting showcasing the best research in human-robot interaction, with roots in and broad participation from various communities of scholars, including but not limited to robotics, human-computer interaction, artificial intelligence, engineering, social and behavioral sciences, and design.
The theme of this year’s conference is “Natural Interaction,” an increasingly important research focus and design goal as robotic technologies become incorporated into everyday life. Achieving natural human-robot interaction requires the input of many technical, social, and design disciplines, as well as reflection on the societal consequences and ethical significance of robotic development. To represent the multitude of disciplines and approaches that contribute to this work and the HRI field more generally in the conference program, we solicited and reviewed papers under four submission themes: “Studies of HRI”, “Technical Advancements in HRI”, “HRI Design”, and “Theory and Method in HRI.” Each submission theme was overseen by a dedicated theme chair and reviewed by a dedicated group of program committee members, who worked together with the program chairs to define and apply review criteria appropriate to each of the four contribution types.

The conference attracted 181 submissions from Asia-Pacific, Europe, the Middle East, and North America. Each full paper was aligned with a theme-appropriate subcommittee, and subsequently reviewed through a double-blind process, which was followed by a rebuttal phase, and shepherding where suggested by the program committee. As a result of the review process, the program committee selected 45 (24.8%) of the submissions for presentation as full papers at the conference. As the conference is jointly sponsored by IEEE and ACM, papers are archived in both IEEE Xplore and the ACM Digital Library. Along with the full papers, the conference program and proceedings include the Late Breaking Reports, Videos, Demos, and a new Alt.HRI section. Out of 95 total submissions, 71 (74.7%) Late Breaking Reports were accepted and will be presented as posters at the conference. Eleven (85%) short videos were accepted for presentation during a dedicated video session from 13 submissions. The program also includes four demos of robot systems that participants will have an opportunity to interact with during the conference. We’re very excited to introduce an Alt.HRI session in this year’s program, consisting of five papers (selected out of 11 submissions) that push the boundaries of thought and practice in the field. Finally, we have the pleasure of presenting three inspiring keynote speakers who will discuss topics relevant to HRI: Dr. Aude Billard from EPFL in Switzerland, Dr. Mark Sagar from the University of Auckland in New Zealand, and Dr. Robert Sparrow from Monash University in Australia. HRI 2016 was made possible through the significant volunteer efforts of the organizing committee, program committee, reviewers and the Steering Committee. We thank the keynote speakers, financial supporters Honda and Aldebaran, and international reviewers for their support and participation. The conference is sponsored by ACM SIGCHI, ACM SIGART, IEEE Robotics and Automation Society, and is in cooperation with AAAI and HFES.

We also want to commend and thank the authors who submitted papers, videos, and demos to HRI 2016, and whose efforts make HRI an exciting field and vibrant community. We hope you will enjoy the conference content, seeing old friends and making new ones, and your visit to Christchurch.
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General Information on Christchurch

Christchurch is a small city, that means it sleeps early. Everything except supermarkets close at about 5-6pm. So be fast if you want to do something after the conference.

Cafes, Food, and Points of Interest

Cafes and Food (in walking distance of conference)
- Strawberry Fare (for dessert – expensive but good!)
- Cl Café
- Ilex Café inside Botanic gardens
- Boat Shed Café (Punting Place)
- Ancestral (Korean Restaurant)
- Nando’s
- Many Restaurant along Riccarton Road
- Westfield mall food court
- Dux Dine (Seafood and Vegetarian Restaurant)
- Buddha Stix (Chinese Restaurant)

Shopping (in walking distance of conference)
- Re:START Mall (Famous Container Mall)
- Westfield Mall (for a wide variety of stores)
- Pak and Save, Countdown, and New World are the largest supermarkets around town.
Mostly open until 11pm.

Point of Interest (in walking distance of conference)
- Chateau on the Park (Conference Venue)
- Botanic garden
- Punting on the Avon River
- Riccarton Bush (see rainforest inside the bush)
- Canterbury Museum
- Hospital
- ChCh Art Gallery
- Mona Vale
- HITLabNZ
- Re:START Mall (Container Mall)
- Central Bus Station
- New Regent St
- Margaret Mahy Family Playground (great for kids!)
- Cathedral Square

Point of Interest (by bus)
- Willowbank Wildlife Reserve
- Airforce Museum
- New Brighton Pier
- Sumner beach
- Port Hills
- Lyttleton (nice hike over the hill starting at the gondola)
Point of Interest (by car)

- Akaroa Bay (nice small town by the water; lovely place to go kayaking, swim with dolphins, or take wildlife boat tours)
- Quail Island and Diamond Harbour (take boat from Lyttleton)
- Castle Hill (beautiful rocks at the foot of the southern alps)
- Arthur’s Pass National park (closest entrance to southern alps from Christchurch with dozens of hiking trails)
- Devil’s Punchbowl Waterfalls (near Arthur’s Pass information centre)
- Kaikoura (lovely beach town 2 hours north from Christchurch with incredible wildlife including seal colonies, whales, dolphins, and albatross)
- Transalpine Express Train travels from Christchurch on East to Greymouth on the west coast with lovely views of the southern alps along the way

General transport in Christchurch

Walking:
The Venue (Hotel) is very central. Therefore, almost everything is in walking distance.

Biking:
Christchurch is very flat as it is located in the Canterbury plains making it quite easy to bike around.
Additionally, bike lanes are on almost every street.
Nextbike is a bike sharing system (only in the center). It costs $4 NZD for registration and then it is free for the first 30 minutes.

Public transport:
Almost all buses go to the Central Bus Station. From there, you can go to the beach at New Brighton or Sumner, or have a hike at the Port Hills, Lyttleton, or anywhere around Banks Peninsula.

Car tips:
Most cars in NZ have automatic transmission. NZ roads are designed so that one drives on the left like in the UK. AA is the car union in case you have an accident (0800 500 222). If you plan a trip a bit further way, fill up on gas every time you see a station. You never know where the next station will be. The south island is fairly large and sometimes you will only have nature for about 100 km or so.
Conference Venue & Maps

Welcome Reception

The Welcome Reception will take place on Tuesday, March 8, 2016 from 6:45pm-9:00pm at the conference venue in the Camelot Room (see hotel floor plan adjacent).

The conference is taking place at the “Chateau on the Park”.

Some useful information:

All of the main conference events will take place in the Great Hall and all the poster sessions will take place in the Chamelot Room.

• Phone: +64 3 348 8999
• Email: res@chateau-park.co.nz
• Address: 189 Deans Ave, Riccarton, Christchurch 8011
Additional Information

The Conference4me smartphone app provides you with the most comfortable tool for planning your participation in HRI 2016. Browse the complete programme directly from your phone or tablet and create your very own agenda on the fly. The app is available for Android, iOS, Windows Phone and Kindle Fire devices.

To download mobile app, please visit http://conference4me.eu/download or type ‘conference4me’ in Google Play, iTunes App Store, Windows Phone Store or Amazon Appstore.

More information can be found here http://conference4me.eu/download
# Program Overview

## Day 1 - Monday 7th

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00</td>
<td>Workshop/Tutorial Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Workshop/Tutorial Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>Workshop/Tutorial Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30</td>
<td>Workshop/Tutorial Presentations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Day 2 - Tuesday 8th

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:00</td>
<td>Chairs’s Welcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:15</td>
<td>Organization List of Chairs, Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30</td>
<td>Keynote Speaker Prof. Mark Sagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>HRI Pioneers Poster Session I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Session A Assistive HRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>Session B Human-Robot Collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>Late Breaking Report Session 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30</td>
<td>Alt.HRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30</td>
<td>Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:45</td>
<td>Welcome Reception</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Day 3 - Wednesday 9th

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 09:00 - 10:00  | **Keynote Speaker** Prof. Aude Billard  
*Towards Reproducing Humans’ Exquisite Dexterity and Reactivity* |
| 10:00 - 10:30  | HRI Pioneers Poster Session 2                                        |
| 10:00 - 10:30  | **Coffee Break**                                                      |
| 10:30 - 11:18  | **Session C** Morality and Trust in HRI                              |
| 11:18 - 11:54  | **Session D** Teleoperation in HRI                                   |
| 12:00 - 1:00   | **Lunch**                                                            |
| 1:00 - 2:00    | **Session E** Companionship and Engagement with Robots               |
| 2:00 - 2:30    | **Coffee Break**                                                     |
| 2:30 - 3:00    | Late Breaking Report Session 2                                       |
| 3:00 - 3:50    | **Session F** Robots that Learn                                      |
| 4:00 - 5:00    | **Demos**                                                            |

## Day 2 - Thursday 10th

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 09:00 - 10:00  | **Keynote Speaker** Prof. Robert Sparrow  
*Kicking a Robot Dog* |
| 10:00 - 10:30  | **Coffee Break**                                                      |
| 10:30 - 11:18  | **Session G** Learning with Robots                                    |
| 11:18 - 11:54  | **Session H** Movement in HRI                                         |
| 12:00 - 1:00   | **Lunch**                                                            |
| 1:00 - 2:00    | **Session I** Tools and Techniques for Social Robots                 |
| 2:00 - 3:00    | **Session J** Attitudes and Responses to Social Robots               |
| 3:00 - 3:30    | **Coffee Break**                                                     |
| 3:30 - 4:00    | Late Breaking Report Session 3                                       |
| 4:00 - 4:30    | **Awards**                                                           |
| 4:30 - 5:00    | **Closing Comments**                                                 |
Keynote Speakers

Prof. Mark Sagar  
*University of Auckland, New Zealand*  
*Making Simulated Faces Come Alive*  
Tuesday, March 8th

Prof. Aude Billard  
*EPFL, Switzerland*  
*Towards Reproducing Humans’ Exquisite Dexterity and Reactivity*  
Wednesday, March 9th

Prof. Robert Sparrow  
*Monash University, Australia*  
*Kicking a Robot Dog*  
Thursday, March 10th
Day 1 – Monday, March 7, 2016

Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08:00 - 08:45</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00 - 10:30</td>
<td>Workshop/Tutorial Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 - 11:00</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>Workshop/Tutorial Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 13:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00 - 15:00</td>
<td>Workshop/Tutorial Presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00 - 15:30</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30 - 18:00</td>
<td>Workshop/Tutorial Presentations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TUTORIAL:  
Design Skills for HRI  
Location: Great Hall 2  

Organizing Committee  
David Sirkin, Stanford University  
Brian Mok, Stanford University  
Nikolas Martelaro, Stanford University  
Hamish Tennent, Stanford University  
Heather Knight, Stanford University  
Guy Hoffman, Cornell University  
Bilge Mutlu, University of Wisconsin  
Leila Takayama, Google  
Wendy Ju, Stanford University  

Abstract  
This tutorial is a hands-on introduction to human-centered design topics and practices for human-robot interaction. It is intended for researchers with a variety of backgrounds, particularly those with little or no prior experience in design.  

In the morning, participants will learn about needfinding, as ways to understand the stakeholders in research outcomes, guide the selection of participants, and as possible measures of success. We then focus on design sketching, including ways to represent objects, people and their interactions through storyboards. Design sketching is not intended to be art, rather a way to develop and build upon ideas with oneself, and quickly communicate these ideas with colleagues.  

In the afternoon, participants will use the tools and materials, and learn techniques, for lightweight physical prototyping and improvisation. Participants will build a small paper robot (not actuated) of their own design, to practice wizarding/puppeteering, explore bodily movement and prototype interactions.  

Website  
http://designskills.stanford.edu/  

WORKSHOP:  
2nd Workshop on Cognitive Architectures for Social Human-Robot Interaction  
Location: Great Hall 1  

Organizing Committee  
Paul Baxter, Plymouth University  
Greg Trafton, Naval Research Laboratory  
Séverin Lemaignan, Plymouth University  

Abstract  
Social HRI requires robots able to use appropriate, adaptive and contingent behaviours to form and maintain engaging social interactions with people. Cognitive Architectures emphasise a generality of mechanism and application, making them an ideal basis for such technical developments. Following the successful first workshop on Cognitive Architectures for HRI at the 2014 HRI conference, this second edition of the workshop focuses specifically on applications to social interaction. The full-day workshop is centred on participant contributions, and structured around a set of questions to provide a common basis of comparison between different assumptions, approaches, mechanisms, and architectures. These contributions will be used to support extensive and structured discussions, with the aim of facilitating the development and application of cognitive architectures to social HRI systems. By attending, we envisage that participants will gain insight into how the consideration of cognitive architectures complements the development of autonomous social robots.  

Website  
https://sites.google.com/site/cogarch4socialhri2016/
WORKSHOP: The challenge (not) to go wild! Challenges and best practices to study HRI in natural interaction settings
Location: Great Hall 3

Organizing Committee
Astrid Rosenthal-von der Pütten, University of Duisburg-Essen
Astrid Weiss, Vienna University of Technology
Nicole Mirnig, University of Salzburg
Selma Šabanović, Indiana University

Abstract In the HRI research community, we are aware of all the challenges involved in studying autonomously behaving agents “in the wild”. In order “not to go wild” this workshop shall facilitate discussion on best practices as well as pitfalls in studying robots in natural interaction settings. Specifically, we will focus on challenges in the development of systems for everyday usage in peoples’ homes and on challenges in evaluating these systems in the wild, especially with regard to long-term interaction.

Bringing researchers and industry together, we will discuss and explore common and new methodological approaches to develop robots for the wild and to study HRI outside the lab, and collect recommendations for fellow researchers. In tandem, we want to reflect our visions for the “new era of socially capable robots” and how we as robot developers and researchers imagine them to integrate into the fabrics of our everyday life.

Website https://www.uni-due.de/sozialpsychologie/hriws2016_robotsinthewild.php

WORKSHOP: Intention Recognition in HRI
Location: Camelot Room

Organizing Committee
Serge Thill, University of Skövde
Alberto Montebelli, University of Skövde
Tom Ziemke, University of Skövde

Abstract The present workshop focuses on the topic of intention recognition in HRI. To be able to recognise intentions of other agents is a fundamental prerequisite to engage in, for instance, instrumental helping or mutual collaboration. It is a necessary aspect of natural interaction. In HRI, the problem is therefore bi-directional: not only does a robot need the ability to infer intentions of humans; humans also need to infer the intentions of the robot. From the human perspective, this inference draws both on the ability to attribute cognitive states to lifeless shapes, and the ability to understand actions of other agents through, for instance, embodied processes or internal simulations (i.e. the human ability to form a theory of mind of other agents). How precisely, and to what degree these mechanisms are at work when interacting with social artificial agents remains unknown. From the robotic perspective, this
lack of understanding of mechanisms underlying human intention recognition, or the capacity for theory of mind in general, is also challenging: the solution can, for instance, not simply be to make autonomous systems work “just like” humans by copying the biological solution and implementing some technological equivalent. It is therefore important to be clear about the theoretical framework(s) and inherent assumptions underlying technological implementations related to mutual intention. This remains very much an active research area in which further development is necessary. The core purpose of this workshop is thus to contribute to – and advance the state of the art in – this area.

**Website** [http://intentions.xyz/](http://intentions.xyz/)

**WORKSHOP: 2nd Workshop on Evaluating Child-Robot Interaction**

**Location:** Ballantyne Room

**Organizing Committee**

- **Manja Lohse**, University of Twente
- **Cristina Zaga**, University of Twente
- **Vicky Charisi**, University of Twente
- **Mark Neerincx**, TNO Human Factors
- **Kanda Takayuki**, Advanced Telecommunications Research Institute International (ATR)
- **Iolanda Leite**, Disney Research

**Abstract** Many researchers have started to explore natural interaction scenarios for children. No matter if these children are normally developing or have special needs, evaluating Child-Robot Interaction (CRI) is a challenge. To find methods that work well and provide reliable data is difficult, for example because commonly used methods such as questionnaires do not work well particularly with younger children. Previous research has shown that children need support in expressing how they feel about technology. Given this, researchers often choose time-consuming behavioral measures from observations to evaluate CRI. However, these are not necessarily comparable between studies and robots. This workshop aims to bring together researchers from different disciplines to share their experiences on these aspects. The main topics are methods to evaluate child-robot interaction design, methods to evaluate socially assistive childrobot interaction and multi-modal evaluation of child-robot interaction. Looking across disciplinary boundaries, we want to discuss advantages and shortcomings of using different evaluation methods in order to compile guidelines for future CRI research.

**Website** [https://evaluatingchildrobotinteraction.wordpress.com/](https://evaluatingchildrobotinteraction.wordpress.com/)

**The 11th annual Human-Robot Interaction Pioneers Workshop**

**Location:** Tower Room

**Organizing Committee**

- **Jill Greczek**, University of Southern California
- **Tiago Ribeiro**, University of Lisbon, Portugal
- **Hee-Tae Jung**, University of Massachusetts, USA
- **Tom Williams**, Tufts University, USA
- **Sam Spaulding**, MIT Media Lab, USA
Abstract The eleventh annual Human-Robot Interaction Pioneers Workshop will be held in Christchurch, New Zealand on Monday, March 7, 2016 in conjunction with the 2016 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction. Pioneers seeks to foster creativity and collaboration surrounding key challenges in human-robot interaction and empower students early in their academic careers. Each year, the workshop brings together a cohort of the world’s top student researchers and provides the opportunity for students to present and discuss their work with distinguished student peers and senior scholars in the field. Pioneers is a premiere forum for graduate students in HRI, thus we invite students at any stage of their academic career to consider applying. To facilitate attendance, we expect to provide financial support to accepted students to help mitigate the costs of the workshop and main conference.

Website http://www.hripioneers.info/hri16/
# Day 2 - Tuesday, March 8, 2016

**Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:00 - 09:15</td>
<td><strong>Chairs’s Welcome</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:15 - 09:30</td>
<td><strong>Organization List of Chairs, Committees</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:30 - 10:30</td>
<td><strong>Keynote Speaker</strong> Prof. Mark Sagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Making Simulated Faces Come Alive</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 - 11:00</td>
<td><strong>HRI Pioneers Poster Session I</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 - 11:00</td>
<td><strong>Coffee Break</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 - 12:00</td>
<td><strong>Session A</strong> Assistive HRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 1:00</td>
<td><strong>Lunch</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 - 2:30</td>
<td><strong>Session B</strong> Human-Robot Collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 - 3:00</td>
<td><strong>Coffee Break</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 - 3:30</td>
<td><strong>Late Breaking Report Session 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30 - 4:30</td>
<td><strong>Alt.HRI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 - 5:30</td>
<td><strong>Videos</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:45 - 9:00</td>
<td><strong>Welcome Reception</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our behaviour emerges as the result of many systems interacting at different scales, from low level biology to high level social interaction. Is it possible to create naturalistic explanatory models which can integrate these factors? We describe the general approach and design of a framework to create autonomous expressive embodied models of behaviour based on affective and cognitive neuroscience theories, sharing similar goals with current research in developmental robotics and embodied cognition, but with emphasis on computer graphics and face to face interaction. We aim to create a “large functioning sketch” of several fundamental aspects of behaviour affecting the face to explore emergence from interaction of low level and high level systems in a top-down bottom up approach.

A key feature of the approach is (given the constraints of the medium), to create as naturalistic models as possible in order to elicit and respond to the appropriate behaviours from the user, involving both sensing and synthesis of visual and auditory stimuli. The models are intended to be as autonomous as possible, so interactions are unscripted and co-created. In order to develop an overall generative model of behaviour that, where possible, remains grounded in biologically plausible models and thus has more explanatory power than statistical approaches, we are developing BL, a modular Lego-like neural network modeling language, sensing and visual simulation framework, to facilitate integration of a range of emerging and established models from diverse sources such as cognitive science, developmental psychology, computational neuroscience and physiology with high quality interactive computer graphics. As a result of combining many diverse models, the neurobehavioural architectures created in BL often form large, complex networks and simulations form complex dynamic systems justifying a visual computing approach in which systems and subsystems can be explored visually as the simulation proceeds. As the user’s behaviour as an interactive partner is a key factor in social learning, it is important to capture and visualize the effect of internal and external events on the networks.

We describe progress along this path with an example, “BabyX”, a psychobiological model of a virtual infant we are developing. While we are exploring drivers of facial behaviour, we also expect this foundational approach has the potential for more ‘human’ computer interfaces.

We also describe our work on a face simulator designed to provide a more realistic face, and an increased biological basis, to existing conversational agents. For HCI tasks (and also HRI), we are building on the same underlying
computational platform the “Auckland Face Simulator” to produce highly realistic avatars capable of real-time interaction. These faces are designed to be both used as stimuli for psychological research and also to provide a realistic interface for third party virtual agent and AI applications. BL allows internal variables of the avatar’s nervous system to be controlled at any level, e.g. from muscles to affective circuits.

A live demonstration of “BabyX” and the “Auckland Face Simulator” will be given during the Keynote presentation.

10:00 - 10:30 HRI Pioneers Poster Session I

Effects of Proactivity and Expressivity on Collaboration with Interactive Robotic Drawers
Brian Mok, Stanford University

Transparency, teleoperation, and children’s understanding of social robots
Jacqueline Kory, Westlund, MIT Media Lab
Cynthia Breazeal, MIT Media Lab

Increasing Psychological Well-being Through Human-Robot Interaction
Zachary Henkel, Mississippi State University
Cindy Bethel, Mississippi State University

Designing Playful HRI. Acceptability of Robots in Everyday Life through Play
Maria Luce Lupetti, Politecnico di Torino

Robot Assistance in Medication Management Tasks
Jason Wilson, Tufts University

Teaching Multiple Robots by a Human
Jorge David Figueroa Heredia, The University of Tokyo
Jun Ota, The University of Tokyo

Promoting Collaboration with Social Robots
Sarah Strohkorb, Yale University
Brian Scassellati, Yale University

The Effect of Intervention on Trust in Human-Robot Interaction
Daniel Ullman, Brown University
Bertram Malle, Brown University

Optimal Gaze-Based Robot Selection in Multi-Human Multi-Robot Interaction
Lingkang Zhang, Simon Fraser University
Richard Vaughan, Simon Fraser University

Robots Against Infectious Diseases
Kory Kraft, Oregon State University

Minimizing User Cost for Shared Autonomy
Shervin Javdani, Carnegie Mellon University
J. Andrew Bagnell, Carnegie Mellon University,
Siddhartha Srinivasa, Carnegie Mellon University

Human Coordination Dynamics with Heterogeneous Robots in a Team
Tariq Iqbal, University of Notre Dame
Laurel D. Riek, University of Notre Dame

Embodied Queries for Robot Task Learning
Kalesha Bullard, Georgia Institute of Technology
11:00 - 11:12  
Enabling Building Service Robots to Guide Blind People: A Participatory Design Approach

Shiri Azenkot, Cornell Tech  
Catherine Feng, Univ. of Washington  
Maya Cakmak, Univ. of Washington

Building service robots—robots that perform various services in buildings—are becoming more common in large buildings such as hotels and stores. We aim to leverage such robots to serve as guides for blind people. In this paper, we sought to design specifications that detail how a building service robot could interact with and guide a blind person through a building in an effective and socially acceptable way. We conducted participatory design sessions with three designers and five non-designers. Two of the designers and all of the non-designers had a vision disability. Primary features of the design include allowing the user to (1) summon the robot after entering the building, (2) choose from three modes of assistance (Sighted Guide, Escort, and Information Kiosk) and (3) receive information about the building’s layout from the robot. We conclude with a discussion of themes and a reflection about our design process that can benefit robot design for blind people in general.

11:12 - 11:24  
Seeing is Comforting: Effects of Teleoperator Visibility in Robot-Mediated Health Care

Kory Kraft, OSU  
Bill Smart, OSU

Teleoperated robots can be used to provide medical care to patients in infectious disease outbreaks, alleviating workers from being in dangerous infectious zones longer than absolutely needed. Nevertheless, patients’ reactions to this technology have not been tested. We test three hypotheses related to patients’ comfort and trust of the operator and robot in a simulated Ebola Treatment Unit. Our findings suggest patients trust the robot teleoperator more when they can see the teleoperator.

11:24 - 11:36  
Emotional Robot to Examine Differences in Play Patterns and Affective Response of Children with and Without ASD

Laura Boccanfuso, Yale Univ.  
Erin Barney, Yale Univ.  
Claire Foster, Yale Univ.  
Yeo Jin Ahn, Yale Univ.  
Katarzyna Chawarska, Yale Univ.  
Brian Scassellati, Yale Univ.  
Frederick Shic, Yale Chid Center

Robots are often employed to proactively engage children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) in well-defined physical or social activities to promote specific educational or therapeutic outcomes. However, much can also be learned by leveraging a robot’s unique
ability to objectively deliver stimuli in a consistent, repeatable way and record child-robot interactions that may be indicative of developmental ability and autism severity in this population. In this study, we elicited affective responses with an emotionsimulating robot and recorded child-robot interactions and child-other interactions during robot emotion states. This research makes two key contributions. First, we analyzed child-robot interactions and affective responses to an emotion-simulating robot to explore differences between the responses of typically developing children and children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Next, we characterized play and affective responsivity and its connection to severity of autism symptoms using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) calibrated severity scores. This preliminary work delivers a novel and robust robot-enabled technique for (1) differentiating child-robot interactions of a group of very young children with ASD (n=12) from a group of typically developing children (n=15) and, (2) characterizing within-group differences in play and affective response that may be associated with symptoms of autism severity.

The eldercare sector is a promising deployment area for robotics where robots can support staff and help to bridge the predicted staff-shortage. A requirement analysis showed that one field of robot-deployment could be supporting physical therapy of older adults with advanced dementia. To explore this possibility, a long-term autonomous robot was deployed as a walking group assistant at a care site for the first time. The robot accompanied two weekly walking groups for a month, offering visual and acoustic stimulation. Therapists’ experience, the robot’s influence on the dynamic of the group and the therapists’ estimation of the robot’s utility were assessed by a mixed methods design consisting of observations, interviews and rating scales. Findings suggest that a robot has the potential to enhance motivation, group coherence and also mood within the walking group. Furthermore, older adults show curiosity and openness towards the robot. However, robustness and reliability of the system must be high, otherwise technical problems quickly turn the robot from a useful assistant into a source of additional workload and exhaustion for therapists.

11:36 - 11:48
Lessons Learned from the Deployment of a Long-term Autonomous Robot as Companion in Physical Therapy for Older Adults with Dementia

Denise Hebesberger, AAHB
Christian Dondrup, Univ. Lincoln
Tobias Koertner, AAHB
Christoph Gisinger, AAHB
Jürgen Pripfl, AAHB

11:48 - 12:00
Assistive Teleoperation of Robot Arms via Automatic Time-Optimal Mode Switching

Laura Herlant, CMU
Rachel Holladay, CMU
Siddhartha Srinivasa, CMU

Assistive robotic arms are increasingly enabling users with upper extremity disabilities to perform activities of daily living on their own. However, the increased capability and dexterity of the arms also makes them harder to control with simple, low-dimensional interfaces like joysticks and sip-and-
puff interfaces. A common technique to control a high-dimensional system like an arm with a low-dimensional input like a joystick is through switching between multiple control modes. However, our interviews with daily users of the Kinova JACO arm identified mode switching as a key problem, both in terms of time and cognitive load. We further confirmed objectively that mode switching consumes about 17.4.

1:00 - 2:24
SESSION B
Human-Robot Collaboration
Chair: Séverin Lemaignan, Plymouth University

1:00 - 1:12
Using Human Knowledge Awareness to Adapt Collaborative Plan Generation, Explanation and Monitoring
Grégoire Milliez, LAAS/CNRS
Raphaël Lallement, LAAS/CNRS
Michelangelo Fiore, LAAS/CNRS
Rachid Alami, LAAS/CNRS

One application of robotics is to assist humans in the achievement of tasks they face in both the workplace and domestic environments. In some situations, a task may require the robot and the human to act together in a collaborative way in order to reach a common goal. To achieve a collaborative plan, each agent (human, robot) needs to be aware of the tasks she/he must carry out and how to perform them. This paper addresses the issue of enhancing a robotic system with a dynamic model of its collaborator's knowledge concerning tasks of a shared plan. Using this model, the robot is able to adapt its collaborative plan generation, its abilities to give explanations and to monitor the overall plan execution. We present the algorithm we have elaborated to take advantage of the tree representation of our Hierarchical Task Network (HTN) planner to enhance the robot with appropriate explanation and execution monitoring abilities. To evaluate how our adaptive system is perceived by users and how much it improves the quality of the Human-Robot interaction, the outcome of a comparative study is presented.

1:12 - 1:24
Robot Nonverbal Behavior Improves Task Performance In Difficult Collaborations
Henny Admoni, Yale Univ.
Thomas Weng, Microsoft Corp
Brian Scassellati, Yale Univ.

Nonverbal behaviors increase task efficiency and improve collaboration between people and robots. In this paper, we introduce a model for generating nonverbal behavior and investigate whether the usefulness of nonverbal behaviors changes based on task difficulty. First, we detail a robot behavior model that accounts for top-down and bottom up features of the scene when deciding when and how to perform deictic references (looking or pointing). Then, we analyze how a robot’s deictic nonverbal behavior affects people’s performance on a
memorization task under differing difficulty levels. We manipulate difficulty in two ways: by adding steps to memorize, and by introducing an interruption. We find that when the task is easy, the robot’s nonverbal behavior has little influence over recall and task completion. However, when the task is challenging—because the memorization load is high or because the task is interrupted—a robot's nonverbal behaviors mitigate the negative effects of these challenges, leading to higher recall accuracy and lower completion times. In short, nonverbal behavior may be even more valuable for difficult collaborations than for easy ones.

Experimental results obtained regard the successful training of a robotic arm with various action behaviors and its subsequent deployment in HRC task accomplishment. The latter demonstrate the validity and efficacy of the proposed approach in human-robot collaborative setups.

1:36 - 1:48
Initiative in Robot Assistance during Collaborative Task Execution

Jimmy Baraglia, Osaka Univ.
Maya Cakmak, Univ. of Washington
Yukie Nagai, Osaka Univ.
Rajesh Rao, Univ. of Washington
Minoru Asada, Osaka Univ.

Initiative during joint human-robot task execution. We develop a system capable of autonomously tracking and performing table-top object manipulation tasks with humans and we implement three different initiative models to trigger robot actions. Human initiated help gives control of robot action timing to the user; robot-initiated reactive help triggers robot assistance when it detects that the user needs help; and robot-initiated proactive help makes the robot help whenever it can. We performed a user study (N=18) to compare these trigger mechanisms in terms of task performance, usage characteristics, and subjective preference. We found that people collaborate best with a proactive robot, yielding better team fluency and high subjective ratings. However, they prefer having control of when the robot should help, rather than working with a reactive robot that only helps when it is needed.
1:48 - 2:00
Formalizing Human-Robot Mutual Adaptation: A Bounded Memory Model
Stefanos Nikolaidis, CMU
Anton Kuznetsov, CMU
David Hsu, National Univ. Singapore
Siddhartha Srinivasa, CMU
Mutual adaptation is critical for effective team collaboration. This paper presents a formalism for human-robot mutual adaptation in collaborative tasks. We propose the bounded-memory adaptation model (BAM), which captures human adaptive behaviours based on a bounded memory assumption. We integrate BAM into a partially observable stochastic model, which enables robot adaptation to the human. When the human is adaptive, the robot will guide the human towards a new, optimal collaborative strategy unknown to the human in advance. When the human is not willing to change their strategy, the robot adapts to the human in order to retain human trust. Human subject experiments indicate that the proposed formalism can significantly improve the effectiveness of human-robot teams, while human subject ratings on the robot performance and trust are comparable to those achieved by cross training, a state-of-the-art human-robot team training practice.

2:00 - 2:12
Anticipatory Robot Control for Efficient Human-Robot Collaboration
Chien-Ming Huang, Univ. Wisconsin
Bilge Mutlu, Univ. Wisconsin
Efficient collaboration requires collaborators to monitor the behaviors of their partners, make inferences about their task intent, and plan their own actions accordingly. To work seamlessly and efficiently with their human counterparts, robots must similarly rely on predictions of their users’ intent in planning their actions. In this paper, we present an anticipatory control method that enables robots to proactively perform task actions based on anticipated actions of their human partners. We implemented this method into a robot system that monitored its user’s gaze, predicted his or her task intent based on observed gaze patterns, and performed anticipatory task actions according to its predictions. Results from a human-robot interaction experiment showed that anticipatory control enabled the robot to respond to user requests and complete the task faster—2.5 seconds on average and up to 3.4 seconds—compared to a robot using a reactive control method that did not anticipate user intent. Our findings highlight the promise of performing anticipatory actions for achieving efficient human-robot teamwork.

2:12 - 2:24
Exploring Shared Control in Automated Driving
Mishel Johns, Stanford Univ.
Brian Mok, SU
David Sirkin, SU
Nikhil Gowda, SU
David Miller, SU
Walter Talamonti, Ford Motor Co.
Wendy Ju, SU
Automated driving systems that share control with human drivers by using haptic feedback through the steering wheel have been shown to have advantages over fully automated systems and manual driving. Here, we
describe an experiment to elicit tacit expectations of behavior from such a system. A gaming steering wheel electronically coupled to the steering wheel in a full-car driving simulator allows two participants to share control of the vehicle. One participant was asked to use the gaming wheel to act as the automated driving agent while another participant acted as the car driver. The course provided different information and visuals to the driving agent and the driver to simulate possible automation failures and conflict situations between automation and the driver. The driving agent was also given prompts that specified a communicative goal at various points along the course. Both participants were interviewed before and after the drive, and vehicle data and drive video were collected. Our results suggest that drivers were able to interpret simple trajectory intentions, such as a lane change, conveyed by the driving agent. However, the driving agent was not able to effectively communicate more nuanced, higher level ideas such as availability, primarily due to the steering wheel being the control mechanism. Torque on the steering wheel without warning was seen most often as a failure of automation. Gentle and steady steering movements were viewed more favorably.

2:30 - 3:30
Late Breaking Report Session 1
Social Interaction Moderates Human-Robot Trust-Reliance Relationship and Improves Stress Coping
Monika Lohani, Charlene Stokes, Marissa McCoy, Christopher Bailey, Susan Rivers
Poster Location: B1

Discrimination of human-like robots from real human in a perception sensor network
Anh Vu Le, Hoang Minh Do, Sang-Seok Yun, JongSuk Choi
Poster Location: B2

Intent Communication in Navigation through the Use of Light and Screen Indicators
Moondeep Shrestha, Tomoya Onishi, Ayano Kobayashi, Erika Uno, Hayato Yanagawa, Yuta Yokoyama, Alexander Schmitz, Mitsuhiro Kamezaki, Shigeki Sugano
Poster Location: B3

Lingodroids: Investigating grounded color relations using a social robot for children
Scott Heath, Kristyn Hensby, Jonathon Taufatofua, Peter Worthy, Marie Boden, Jason Weigel, Nikodem Rybak, Janet Wiles
Poster Location: B4

How Would Store Managers Employ Social Robots?
Chao Shi, Satoru Satake, Takayuki Kanda, Hiroshi Ishiguro
Poster Location: B5

Heart vs Hard Drive: Children Learn More From a Human Tutor Than a Social Robot
James Kennedy, Paul Baxter, Emmanuel Senft, Tony Belpaeme
Poster Location: B6

Social Cardboard: Prototyping a social ethnodroid in the wild
Janet Wiles
Poster Location: B7
Impact of Affective Appraisal on Collaborative Goal Management: My Robot Shares My Worries
**Mahni Shayganfar, Charles Rich, Candace Sidner**
*Poster Location: B8*

Adverb Palette: GUI-based Support for Human Interaction in Multi-Objective Path-Planning
**Meher Shaikh, Michael Goodrich, Daqing Yi**
*Poster Location: B9*

Haptic Communication Robot for Urgent Notification of Hearing-Impaired People
**Michihiko Furuhashi, Tsuyoshi Nakamura, Masayoshi Kanoh, Koji Yamada**
*Poster Location: B10*

Integrating animation artists into the animation design of social robots
**Etienne Balit, Dominique Vaufreydaz, Patrick Reignier**
*Poster Location: B11*

Influence of a Humanoid Robot in Human Decision-Making When Using Direct and Indirect Requests
**Alexander Lopez, Christian Penaloza, Francisco Cuellar**
*Poster Location: B12*

Effective Social Cues for Human-Robot Interaction in Physical Training
**Cheonshu Park**
*Poster Location: B13*

Social Robots in Educational Institutions- They came to stay: Introducing, Evaluating and Securing Social Robots in Daily Education
**Franziska Kirstein, Rikke Voldsgaard-Risager**
*Poster Location: B14*

Making Live Theatre with Multiple Robots as Actors: Bringing Robots to Rural Schools to Promote STEAM Education for Underserved Students
**Myoungsoon Jeon, Maryam Fakhrhosseini, Jaclyn Barnes, Zackery Duford, Ruimun Zhang, Joseph Ryan, Eric Vasey**
*Poster Location: B15*

New Design of a Manipulator Control Interface That Employs Voice and Multi-Touch Commands
**Tetsushi Oka, Keisuke Matsushima**
*Poster Location: B16*

Action Elements of Emotional Body Expressions for Flying Robots
**Chie Hieida, Hiroaki Matsuda, Shunsuke Kudoh, Takashi Suehiro**
*Poster Location: B17*

Help-Giving Robot Behaviors in Child-Robot Games: Exploring Semantic Free Utterances
**Cristina Zaga, Roelof Anne Jelle de Vries, Sem Spenkelink, Khiet Truong, Vanessa Evers**
*Poster Location: B18*

Evaluating peer vs teacher robot interaction conditions with children using NAO robot for teaching programming basics
**Yerassyl Diyas, Dmitriy Brakk, Yernar Aimambetov, Anara Sandygulova**
*Poster Location: B19*
Smart Topic Detection for Robot Conversation

Elise Russell, Richard Povinelli, Andrew Williams
Poster Location: B20

Maintaining Trust While Fixated to a Rehabilitative Robot

Rasmus Reinhold March Jargensen, Laura Marie Uhrlund Jensen, Trine Straarup Winther, Didde Marie Hellestrup, Lars Christian Jensen
Poster Location: B21

Generating Iconic Gestures based on Graphic Data Analysis and Clustering

Yuki Kadono, Yutaka Takase, Yukiko Nakano
Poster Location: B22

A Multi-modal Perception based Architecture for a Non-intrusive Domestic Assistant Robot

Christophe Mollaret, Alhayat Ali Mekonnen, Julien Pinquier, Frederic Lerasle, Isabelle Ferrane
Poster Location: B23

Humanoid Robots as Tools, Agents, and Experiencers

Markus Appel, Silvana Weber, Stefan Krause, Martina Mara
Poster Location: B24

3:30 - 4:30
Alt.HRI Chair: James Young, University of Manitoba

3:30 - 3:42
The Ethical Risk of Attachment: How to Identify, Investigate and Predict Potential Ethical Risks in the Development of Social Companion Robots

Andreas Huber, TU Wien - ACIN
Astrid Weiss, Vienna University of Technology
Marjo Rauhala, Vienna University of Technology

In this paper we present the Triple-A Model intended as a framework for researchers and developers to incorporate ethics in the user-and robot-centered design of social companion robots. The purpose of the model is to help identifying potential ethical risks in the implementation of Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) scenarios. We base our model on three interaction levels, which companion robots can offer: Assistance, Adaptation, and Attachment (Triple-A). Every single interaction level has its specific potential ethical risks, which can be addressed during the robot development phase. However, we especially focus on the prominent ethical risks of long-term human-robot attachment and its implications on human-robot relationships. We discuss the practical use and the theoretical foundation of the Triple-A model which is well-grounded in the social role theory from sociology and the human cognitive-mnestic structure from cognitive science.
3:42 - 3:54
Integrating Roboticist Values into a Value Sensitive Design Framework for Humanoid Robots

EunJeong Cheon, Indiana University
Norman Su, Indiana University Bloomington

A good body of work in HRI has investigated how to design humanoids to effectively serve users’ needs. This user centered approach has proved fruitful, but there exists relatively little work examining the intent and values of the roboticists themselves. Furthermore, we know little of how the values of roboticists influence their own designs. Such knowledge could help designers better reflect on whether their designs effectively convey particular ethics to their users. In this study, we analyzed 27 interviews of pioneer humanoid roboticists, seeking to identify the values of such roboticists. Our results suggest that roboticists’ values are shaped by a dominant engineering-based background that emphasizes robotics as a field of integration (especially humanoids). Roboticists also see robots as testbeds for learning about humans themselves. We advocate a VSD approach for humanoids that goes beyond engineering disciplines and forces values to the forefront of discussion.

3:54 - 4:06
Lessons From Teachers on Performing HRI Studies with Young Children in Schools

Jacqueline KoryWestlund, MIT Media Lab
Goren Gordon, Tel-Aviv University
Samuel Spaulding, MIT Media Lab

We deployed an autonomous social robotic learning companion in three preschool classrooms at an American public school for two months. Before and after this deployment, we asked the teachers and teaching assistants who worked in the classrooms about their views on the use of social robots in preschool education. We found that teachers’ expectations about the experience of having a robot in their classrooms often did not match up with their actual experience. These teachers generally expected the robot to be disruptive, but found that it was not, and furthermore, had numerous positive ideas about the robot’s potential as a new educational tool for their classrooms. Based on these interviews, we provide a summary of lessons we learned about running childrobot interaction studies in preschools. We share some advice for future researchers who may wish to engage teachers and schools in the course of their own human-robot interaction work. Understanding the teachers, the classroom environment, and the constraints involved is especially important for microgenetic and longitudinal studies, which require more of the school’s time—as well as more of the researchers’ time—and is a greater opportunity investment for everyone involved.
Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) research requires the integration and cooperation of multiple disciplines, technical and social, in order to make progress. In many cases using different motivations, each of these disciplines bring with them different assumptions and methodologies. We assess recent trends in the field of HRI by examining publications in the HRI conference over the past three years (over 100 full papers), and characterise them according to 14 categories. We focus primarily on aspects of methodology. From this, a series of practical recommendations based on rigorous guidelines from other research fields that have not yet become common practice in HRI are proposed. Furthermore, we explore the primary implications of the observed recent trends for the field more generally, in terms of both methodology and research directions. We propose that the interdisciplinary nature of HRI must be maintained, but that a common methodological approach provides a much needed frame of reference to facilitate rigorous future progress.

From Characterising Three Years of HRI to Methodology and Reporting Recommendations

Paul Baxter, Plymouth University
James Kennedy, Plymouth University
Emmanuel Senft, Plymouth University
Séverin Lemaignan, Plymouth University
Tony Belpaeme, Plymouth University

This paper presents an observational study conducted at the public environment investigating children’s engagement with a social robot within a pretend play scenario. A pretend play system was designed in order to address the challenges of evaluating child-robot interaction by exploiting the advantages of ubiquitous robotics and ambient intelligence of multimodal, multi-sensory perception. The system performed successfully at a children’s play centre where a humanoid NAO robot was able to dynamically adapt its gender by changing its gendered voice to match the gender of the child. By analyzing the free play of children, the results obtained confirm the hypothesis of gender segregation within child-robot interaction. These findings are important and ought to be considered when designing robotic applications for children in order to increase robot’s perceived likeability, acceptance and engagement.

4:30 - 5:30
Videos Chair: Cindy Bethel, Mississippi State University

Smart Personal Mobility (SPM) for Service and Entertainment

Daesung Jeon, Advanced Institutes of Convergence Technology, AICT
Woo Taek, Hanyang University ERICA Campus
Hoimyung, Advanced Institutes of Convergence Technology, (AICT)
NavCue: Context Immersive Navigation Assistance for Blind Travelers
Kangwei Chen, Carnegie Mellon University
Victoria Plaza-Leiva, University of Malaga
Byung-Cheol Min, Purdue University
Aaron Steinfeld, Carnegie Mellon University
M.Bernadine Dias, Carnegie Mellon University

Tega: A Social Robot
Jacqueline Kory Westlund, MIT Media Lab
Jin Joo Lee, MIT Media Lab
Luke Plummer, MIT Media Lab
Fardad Faridi, MIT Media Lab
Jesse Gray, IF Robots
Matt Berlin, IF Robots
Stacy Dyer, Dyer Design
Kristopher dos Santos, MIT Media Lab
Goren Gordon, Tel-Aviv University Cynthia Breazeal, MIT Media Lab

Augmented Reality Eyeglasses for Promoting Home-Based Rehabilitation for Children with Cerebral Palsy
Christopher Munroe, University of Massachusetts-Lowell
Yuanliang Meng, University of Massachusetts-Lowell
Holly Yanko, University of Massachusetts-Lowell
Momotaz Begum, University of Massachusetts-Lowell

Building a Social Robot as a Game Companion in a Card Game
Filipa Correia, INESC-ID
Tiago Ribeiro, INESC-ID
Patricia Alves-Oliveira, INESC-ID
Nuno Maia, Instituto Superior Tecnico
Francisco S. Melo, INESC-ID
Ana Paiva, INESC-ID

Map Reading with an Empathic Robot Tutor
Lynne Hall, University of Sunderland
Colette Hume, University of Sunderland
Sarah Tazzyman, University of Leicester
Amol Deshmukh, Heriot-Watt University Srinivasan
Janarthanam, Heriot-Watt University
Helen Hastie, Heriot-Watt University
Ruth Aylett, Heriot-Watt University
Ginevra Castellano, University of Birmingham
Fotis Papadopoulos, University of Birmingham
Aidan Jones, University of Birmingham
Lee J. Corrigan, University of Birmingham
Ana Paiva, INESC-ID
Patrícia Alves Oliveira, INESC-ID
Tiago Ribeiro, INESC-ID
Wolmet Barendregt, University of Gothenburg
Sofia Serholt, University of Gothenburg
Arvid Kappas, Jacobs University Bremen
Robot Maori Haka: Robots as cultural preservationists

Eduardo B. Sandoval, University of Canterbury
Qi Min Ser, University of Canterbury
Omprakash Rudhru, University of Canterbury

Tell Me More: Designing HRI to encourage more trust, disclosure, and companionship

Nikolas Martelaro, Stanford University
Victoria Nneji, Duke University
Wendy Ju, Stanford University
Pamela Hinds, Stanford University

Multisensory Robotic Therapy to Promote Natural Emotional Interaction for Children with ASD

Rachael Bevill, George Washington University
Paul Azzi, George Washington University
Matthew Spadafora, George Washington University
Chung Hyuk Park, George Washington University
Hyung Jung Kim, Centscere LLC
JongWon Lee, Michigan Technological University
Ayanna Howard, Georgia Institute of Technology

Autonomous Human-Robot Proxemics: A Robot-Centered Approach

Ross Mead, University of Southern California
Maja Mataric, University of Southern California

Social Robots for Automated Remote Instruction

Jamy Li, Stanford University
Wendy Ju, Stanford University
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**Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 09:00 - 10:00 | **Keynote Speaker** Prof. Aude Billard  
Towards Reproducing Humans' Exquisite Dexterity and Reactivity |
| 10:00 - 10:30 | HRI Pioneers Poster Session 2              |
| 10:00 - 10:30 | Coffee Break                               |
| 10:30 - 11:18 | **Session C** Morality and Trust in HRI    |
| 11:18 - 11:54 | **Session D** Teleoperation in HRI         |
| 12:00 - 1:00  | Lunch                                      |
| 1:00 - 2:00   | **Session E** Companionship and Engagement with Robots |
| 2:00 - 2:30   | Coffee Break                               |
| 2:30 - 3:00   | **Late Breaking Report Session 2**         |
| 3:00 - 3:50   | **Session F** Robots that Learn            |
| 4:00 - 5:00   | Demos                                      |
Towards Reproducing Humans’ Exquisite Dexterity and Reactivity

Our homes, offices and urban surroundings are carefully built to be inhabited by us, humans. Tools and furniture are designed to be easily manipulated by the human hand. Floors and stairs are modeled for human-sized legs. For robots to work seamlessly in our environments they should have bodies that resemble in shape, size and strength to the human body, and use these with the same dexterity and reactivity.

This talk will provide an overview of techniques developed at LASA to enable robust, fast and flexible manipulation. Learning is guided by human demonstrations. Robust manipulation is achieved through sampling over distributions of feasible grasps.

Smooth exploration leverages on complete tactile sensing coverage and learned variable impedance models.

Bi-manual coordination offers ways to exploit the entire robot’s workspace. Imprecise positioning and sensing is overcome using active compliant strategies, similar to that displayed by humans when facing situations with high uncertainty.

The talk will conclude with examples in which robots achieve super-human capabilities for catching fast moving objects with a dexterity that exceeds that of human beings.

10:00 - 10:30
HRI Pioneers Poster Session II

Enabling Long-term Human-Robot Interaction with adaptive behavior coordination
Markus Bajones, TU Wien

Learning and Grounding Haptic Affordances Using Demonstration and Human-Guided Exploration
Vivian Chu, Georgia Institute of Technology
Andrea Thomaz, Georgia Institute of Technology

Integrating Roboticist Values into a Design Framework for Humanoid Robots
EunJeong Cheon, Indiana University
Norman Su, Indiana University

Affective, Evaluative and Physiological Response to Robots in Three Novel Social Roles
Jamy Li, Stanford University
Evolutionary method for robot morphology: case study of social robot Probo

Albert De Beir, Vrije Universiteit Brussel
Bram Vanderborght, Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Ontological reasoning for human-robot teaming in search and rescue missions

Timea Bagosi, Delft University of Technology
Koen Hindriks, Delft University of Technology
Mark Neerincx, Delft University of Technology

Inferring Higher-Order Affordances for more Natural Human-Robot Collaboration

Vasanth Sarathy, Tufts University
Matthias Scheutz, Tufts University

Improving inclusion segmentation task performance through human-intent based human-robot collaboration

Kirsten Kaplan, Stanford University
Allison M. Okamura, Stanford University

Boosting children’s creativity through creative interactions with social robots

Patricia Alves-Oliveira, INESC-ID
Patrícia Arriaga, ISCTE-IUL
Guy Hoffman, Cornell University
Ana Paiva, INESC-ID

Learning Complex Sequential Tasks from Demonstration: A Pizza Dough Rolling Case Study

Nadia Figueroa, EPFL
Lucia Pais, EPFL
Aude Billard, EPFL

Developing a Healthcare Robot with Personalized Behavior and Social Skills for the Elderly

Roxana Agrigoroaie, ENSTA-ParisTech
Adriana Tapus, ENSTA-ParisTech

Constructing Policies for Supportive Behaviors and Communicative Actions in Human-Robot Teaming

Elena Corina Grigore, Yale University
Brian Scassellati, Yale University

Symbiotic Child-Robot Interaction in Education

Daniel Davison, Twente University

Nonverbal Signaling for Non-Humanoid Robots During Human-Robot Collaboration

Elizabeth Cha, University of Southern California
Maja Mataric, University of Southern California

10:30 - 11:18
SESSION C
Morality and Trust in HRI Chair: Kerstin Fischer, University of Southern Denmark

10:30 - 10:42
Overtrust of Robots in Emergency Evacuation Scenarios

Paul Robinette, Georgia Tech
Wenchen Li, Georgia Tech
Robert Allen, Georgia Tech
Ayanna Howard, Georgia Tech
Alan Wagner, Georgia Tech

Robots have the potential to save lives in emergency scenarios, but could have an
equally disastrous effect if participants overtrust them. To explore this concept, we performed an experiment where a participant interacts with a robot in a non-emergency task to experience its behavior and then chooses whether to follow the robot’s instructions in an emergency or not. Artificial smoke and fire alarms were used to add a sense of urgency. To our surprise, all 26 participants followed the robot in the emergency, despite half observing the same robot perform poorly in a navigation guidance task just minutes before. We performed additional exploratory studies investigating different failure modes. Even when the robot pointed to a dark room with no discernible exit the majority of people did not choose to safely exit the way they entered.

**10:42 - 10:54**  
**Trust Calibration within a Human-Robot Team: Comparing Automatically Generated Explanations**  
**Ning Wang, USC**  
**David Pynadath, USC**

Trust is a critical factor for achieving the full potential of human-robot teams. Researchers have theorized that people will more accurately trust an autonomous system, such as a robot, if they have a more accurate understanding of its decision-making process.

Studies have shown that hand-crafted explanations can help maintain trust when the system is less than 100% reliable. In this work, we leverage existing agent algorithms to provide a domain-independent mechanism for robots to automatically generate such explanations. To measure the explanation mechanism’s impact on trust, we collected self-reported survey data and behavioral data in an agent-based online testbed that simulates a human-robot team task. The results demonstrate that the added explanation capability led to improvement in transparency, trust, and team performance.

Furthermore, by observing the different outcomes due to variations in the robot’s explanation content, we gain valuable insight that can help lead to refinement of explanation algorithms to further improve human-robot trust calibration.

**10:54 - 11:06**  
**Can a Robot Bribe a Human? The Measurement of the Negative Side of Reciprocity in Human Robot Interaction**  
**Eduardo B. Sandoval, Univ. of Canterbury**  
**Jürgen Brandstetter, Univ. of Canterbury**  
**Christoph Bartneck, Univ. of Canterbury**

Reciprocity is a cornerstone of human relationships and apparently it also appears in human-robot interaction independently of the context. It is expected that reciprocity will play a principal role in HRI in the future. The negative side of reciprocal phenomena has not been entirely explored in human-robot interaction. For instance, a reciprocal act such as bribery between Humans and robots is a very novel area.

In this paper, we try to evaluate the questions: Can a robot bribe a human? To what extent is a robot bribing a human affect his/her reciprocal response? We performed an experiment using the Rock, Paper, Scissors game (RPSG). The robot bribes the participant by losing intentionally in certain rounds to obtain his/her favour later, and through using direct and indirect speech in certain rounds. The participants could obtain between 20%-25% more money when the robot bribed them than in the control condition. The robot also used either
direct or indirect speech requesting a favour in a second task. Our results show that the bribing robot received significantly less reciprocation than in the control condition regardless of whether the request was couched in direct or indirect speech.

However there is a significant interaction effect between the bribe and speech conditions.

Moreover, just three of sixty participants reported the robot-bribe in an interview as a malfunction, though they did not mention any moral judgment about its behaviour.

Further, just 10% of the participants reported the bribe in the online questionnaire. We consider that our experiment makes an early contribution to continue the exploration of morally ambiguous and controversial reciprocal situations in HRI. Robot designers should consider the reciprocal human response towards robots in different contexts including bribery scenarios. Additionally our study could be used in guidelines for robot behavioural design to model future HRI interactions in terms of moral decisions.

11:06 - 11:18
Which Robot Am I Thinking About? The Impact of Action and Appearance on People’s Evaluations of a Moral Robot
Bertram Malle, Brown Univ.
Matthias Scheutz, Tufts Univ.
Jodi Forlizzi, CMU
John Voiklis, Brown Univ.

In three studies we found further evidence for a previously discovered Human-Robot (HR) asymmetry in moral judgments: that people blame robots more for inaction than action in a moral dilemma but blame humans more for action than inaction in the identical dilemma (where inaction allows four persons to die and action sacrifices one to save the four). Importantly, we found that people’s representation of the “robot” making these moral decisions appears to be one of a mechanical robot. For when we manipulated the pictorial display of a verbally described robot, people showed the HR asymmetry only when making judgments about a mechanical-looking robot, not a humanoid robot.

This is the first demonstration that robot appearance affects people’s moral judgments about robots.

11:18 - 11:54
SESSION D
Teleoperation in HRI Chair: Jenay Beer, University of South Carolina

11:18 - 11:30
Teleoperated or Autonomous?: How to Produce a Robot Operator’s Pseudo Presence in HRI
Kazuaki Tanaka, Osaka Univ
Naomi Yamashita, NTT
Hideyuki Nakanishi, Osaka Univ.
Hiroshi Ishiguro, Osaka Univ.

Previous research has made various efforts to produce human-like
presence of autonomous social robots. However, such efforts often require costly equipment and complicated mechanisms. In this paper, we propose a new method that makes a user feel as if an autonomous robot is controlled by a remote operator, with virtually no cost.

The basic idea is to manipulate people’s knowledge about a robot by using priming technique. Through a series of experiments, we discovered that subjects tended to deduce the presence/absence of a remote operator based on their prior experience with that same remote operator. When they interacted with an autonomous robot after interacting with a teleoperated robot (i.e., a remote operator) whose appearance was identical as the autonomous robot, they tended to feel that they were still talking with the remote operator. The physically embodied talking behavior reminded the subjects of the remote operator’s presence that was felt at the prior experience. Their deductions of the presence/absence of a remote operator were actually based on their “beliefs” that they had been interacting with a remote operator. Even if they had interacted with an autonomous robot under the guise of a remote operator, they tended to believe that they were interacting with a remote operator even when they subsequently interacted with an autonomous robot.

11:30 - 11:42
Personality Perception of Robot Avatar Tele-operators
Paul Bremner, UWE
Oya Celiktutan, QMU
Hatice Gunes, QMU

Nowadays a significant part of human-human interaction takes place over distance. Tele-operated robot avatars, in which an operator’s behaviours are portrayed by a robot proxy, have the potential to improve distance interaction, e.g., improving social presence and trust. However, having communication mediated by a robot changes the perception of the operator’s appearance and behaviour, which have been shown to be used alongside vocal cues in judging personality. In this paper we present a study that investigates how robot mediation affects the way the personality of the operator is perceived.

More specifically, we aim to investigate if judges of personality can be consistent in assessing personality traits, can agree with one another, can agree with operators’ self-assessed personality, and shift their perceptions to incorporate characteristics associated with the robot’s appearance. Our experiments show that (i) judges utilise robot appearance cues along with operator vocal cues to make their judgments, (ii) operators’ arm gestures reproduced on the robot aid personality judgments, and (iii) how personality cues are perceived and evaluated through speech, gesture and robot appearance is highly operator-dependent. We discuss the implications of these results for both tele-operated and autonomous robots that aim to portray personality.

11:42 - 11:54
Semi-Autonomous Telerobotic Assembly over High-Latency Networks
Jonathan Bohren, Johns Hopkins Univ.
Christopher Paxton, Johns Hopkins Univ.
Ryan Howarth, Johns Hopkins Univ.
Gregory Hager, Johns Hopkins Univ.
Louis Whitcomb, Johns Hopkins Univ.

We report the development and a preliminary multi-user evaluation of an assisted teleoperation architecture for assembly tasks over high-latency networks.
networks. While such tasks still require human insight, there are often elements of these tasks which can be executed autonomously. Our architecture contextualizes a user’s intended actions in a remote scene. It assists the user by performing the intended action more precisely based on the latest local scene model. We report the results of a multi-user study of nine participants to evaluate performance of this approach using a full-3D dynamic robotic simulation in a laboratory environment. The study required users to assemble part of a structure with 200 or 4000 milliseconds of time delay, with or without assistance from the reported system. For each condition, we evaluated performance based both on partial and final task completion times, and we estimated each user’s workload with the NASA Task Load Index. The study showed that our architecture has the potential to increase feasibility of the task both with and without large telemetry delay and to enable users to complete elements of the task more rapidly. We also found that the assistance dramatically reduced the users’ workload. We provide open-source implementations for all components of our system, which can be adapted to other robotic platforms.

1:00 - 2:00
SESSION E
Companionship and Engagement with Robots
Chair: Fumihide Tanaka,
University of Tsukuba

1:00 - 1:12
From Real-time Attention Assessment to “With-me-ness” in Human-Robot Interaction
Séverin Lemaignan, EPFL
Fernando Garcia, EPFL
Alexis Jacq, EPFL
Pierre Dillenbourg EPFL

Measuring “how much the human is in the interaction” – the level of engagement – is instrumental in building effective interactive robots. Engagement, however, is a complex, multi-faceted cognitive mechanism that is only indirectly observable. This article formalizes “with-me-ness” as one of such indirect measures. With-me-ness, a concept borrowed from the field of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning, measures in a well-defined way to what extent the human is “with” the robot over the course of an interactive task. As such, it is a meaningful precursor of engagement. We expose in this paper the full methodology, from real-time estimation of the human’s focus of attention (relying on a novel, open-source, vision-based head pose estimator), to on-line computation of
with-me-ness. We report as well on the experimental validation of this approach, using a naturalistic setup involving children during a complex robot-teaching task.

1:12 - 1:24 Machines as a Source of Consolation: Robot Responsiveness Increases Human Approach Behavior and Desire for Companionship

Gurit E. Birnbaum, IDC Herzliya
Moran Mizrahi, IDC Herzliya
Guy Hoffman, IDC Herzliya
Harry T. Reis, Univ. of Rochester
Eli J. Finkel, Northwestern Univ.
Omri Sass, IDC Herzliya

Responsiveness to one’s bids for proximity in times of need is a linchpin of human interaction. Thus, the ability to be perceived as responsive has design implications for socially assistive robots. We report on a large-scale experimental laboratory study (n = 102) examining robot responsiveness and its effects on human attitudes and behaviors.

In one-on-one sessions, participants disclosed a personal event to a non-humanoid robot. The robot responded either responsively or unresponsively across two modalities: Simple gestures and written text. We replicated previous findings that the robot’s responsiveness increased perceptions of its appealing traits. In addition, we found that robot responsiveness increased nonverbal approach behaviors (physical proximity, leaning toward the robot, eye contact, smiling) and participants’ willingness to be accompanied by the robot during stressful events. These findings suggest that humans not only utilize responsiveness cues to ascribe social intentions to personal robots, but actually change their behavior towards responsive robots and may want to use such robots as a source of consolation.

1:24 - 1:36 Human Creativity Can be Facilitated Through Interacting With a Social Robot

Peter Kahn, Univ. of Washington
Takayuki Kanda, Osaka Univ.
Hiroshi Ishiguro, ATR
Brian Gill, Seattle Pacific Univ.
Solace Shen, Univ. of Washington
Jolina Ruckert, Univ. of Washington
Heather Gary, Univ. of Washington

Is it possible to design robots of the future so that they can enhance people’s creative endeavors? Forty-eight young adults were asked to produce their most creative ideas in a small Zen rock garden in a laboratory setting. Participants were randomly assigned to one of two conditions. In one condition, the robot Robovie (through a Wizard of Oz interface) encouraged participants to generate creative ideas (e.g., “Can you think of another way to do that?”), and pulled relevant images and video clip from the web for each participant to look at which could help spur the participant into more creative expressions. In a second condition, participants engaged in the same Zen rock garden task with the same core information, but through the modality of a self-paced PowerPoint presentation. Results showed that participants engaged in the creativity task longer and provided almost twice the number of creative expressions in the robot condition compared to the PowerPoint condition. Discussion
focuses on a vision of social robotics coupled with advances in natural language processing to enhance the human creative mind and spirit.

1:36 - 1:48
Tell Me More: Designing HRI to Encourage More Trust, Disclosure, and Companionship

Nikolas Martelaro, Stanford Univ.
Victoria Nneji, Duke Univ.
Wendy Ju, Stanford Univ.
Pamela Hinds, Stanford Univ.

Previous HRI research has established that trust, disclosure, and a sense of companionship lead to positive outcomes. In this study, we extend existing work by exploring behavioral approaches to increasing these three aspects of HRI. We increased the expressivity and vulnerability of a robot and measured the effects on trust, disclosure, and companionship during human-robot interaction. We engaged (N = 61) high school aged students in a 2 (vulnerability of robot: high vs. low) x 2 (expressivity of robot: high vs. low) between-subjects study where participants engaged in a short electronics learning activity with a robotic tutor. Our results show that students had more trust and feelings of companionship with a vulnerable robot, and reported disclosing more with an expressive robot. Additionally, we found that trust mediated the relationship between vulnerability and companionship. These findings suggest that vulnerability and expressivity may improve peoples’ relationships with robots, but that they each have different effects.

1:48 - 2:00
Psychological Importance of Human Agency: How self-assembly affects user experience of robots

Yuan Sun, Remix
S. Shyam Sundar, Penn State

Does assembling one’s robot enhance the quality of our interaction with it? And, does it matter whether the robot is a utilitarian tool or a socially interactive entity? We examined these questions with a 2 (Assembler: Self vs. Others) x 2 (Expectation Setting/Framing: Task-oriented robot vs. Interaction-oriented robot) between-subjects experiment (N = 80), in which participants interacted with a humanoid desktop robot (KT-Gladiator 19).

Results showed that participants tended to have more positive evaluations of both the robot and the interaction process when they set it up themselves, an effect that is positively mediated by a sense of ownership and a sense of accomplishment, and negatively mediated by perceived process costs of setting up the robot. They also tended to evaluate the robot and the interaction more positively when they expected it to be task oriented rather than interaction-oriented. Implications for theory and design of robots are discussed.

2:30 - 3:00
Late Breaking Report Session 2

User Feedback on Physical Marker Interfaces for Protecting Visual Privacy from Mobile Robots
Matthew Rueben, Frank Bernieri, Cindy Grimm, William Smart
Poster Location: B1
Who Should Robots Adapt to within Multi-Party Interaction of a Public Space?
Saida Mussakhojayeva, Madi Zhanbyrtayev, Yerlik Agzhanov, Anara Sandygulova
Poster Location: B2

Safe Human-Robot Cooperation with high payload robots in industrial applications
Christian Vogel, Markus Fritzsche
Poster Location: B3

Priming anthropomorphism Can the credibility of humanlike robots be transferred to non-humanlike robots?
Debora Zanatto, Massimiliano Patacchiola, Jeremy Goslin, Angelo Cangelosi
Poster Location: B4

Robot Assisted Music Therapy: A Case Study with Children Diagnosed with Autism
Jenay Beer, Michelle Boren, Karina Liles
Poster Location: B5

Human-Robot Partnership: A Study on Collaborative Storytelling
Clarice Wong, Tay Yong Ling Tay, Ruohan Wang, Yan Wu
Poster Location: B6

Human Expectations of Social Robots
Minae Kwon, Malte Jung, Ross Knepper
Poster Location: B7

Studying the Opposing Effects of Robot Presence on Human Corruption
Michal Roizman, Guy Hoffman, Shahar Ayal, Guy Hochman, Michal Reifen, Yossi Maaravi
Poster Location: B8

Employing User-Generated Content to Enhance Human-Robot Interaction in a Human-Robot Trust Game
Yuhua (Jake) Liang, Seungcheol Austin Lee
Poster Location: B9

NAMIDA: How to Reduce the Cognitive Workload of Driver
Nihan Karatas, Soshi Yoshikawa, Ravindra De Silva, Michio Okada
Poster Location: B10

Child’s Culture-related Experiences with a Social Robot at Diabetes Camps
Anouk Neerincx, Rianne Kaptein, Elettra Oleari, Francesca Sacchitelli, Sylvia Van der Pal, Mark Neerincx
Poster Location: B11

Children’s Perceptions of and Interactions with a Telepresence Robot
Kyoung Wan Cathy Shin, Jeonghye Han
Poster Location: B12

Emobie: A robot companion for children with anxiety
Lindsey Arnold
Poster Location: B13

Vocal Interaction with a 7-DOF Robotic Arm for Object Detection, Learning and Grasping
Stefano Rosa, Giorgio Toscana
Poster Location: B14
Expectations towards two robots with different interactive abilities

Kerstin Sophie Haring, David Silvera-Tawil, Mari Velonaki, Katsumi Watanabe
Poster Location: B15

Results of a Real World Trial with a Mobile Social Service Robot for Older Adults

Juergen Pripfl, Tobias Jasper Koertner, Daliah Batko-Klein, Denise Hebesberger, Markus Weninger, Christoph Gisinger, Susanne Frennert, Haykan Eftring, Margherita Antona, Ilia Adami, Astrid Weiss, Markus Bajones
Poster Location: B16

Applying Adaptive Social Mobile Agent to Facilitate Learning

Muneeb Ahmad, Omar Mubin
Poster Location: B17

Analysis of Lip-Sync Factor Affecting Communication of Android Robot

Hyun-Jun Hyung, Byeong-Kyu Ahn, Brian David Cruz, Dong-Wook Lee
Poster Location: B18

Robotic Assistance in Indoor Navigation for People who are Blind

Aditi Kulkarni, Allan Wang, M. Bernardine Dias, Aaron Steinfeld
Poster Location: B19

Discovering Patterns of Touch

Kris Rogers, Janet Wiles
Poster Location: B20

Study on Transition of Elderly People’s Reactions in Robot Therapy

Toshimitsu Hamada, Yoshihito Kagawa, Hisashi Onari, Mitsuru Naganuma, Tomomi Hashimoto, Toshihiko Yoneoka
Poster Location: B21

A Novel Concept of Human-Robot Competition for HRI Reasoning: Where Does It Point?

Amit Kumar PANDEY, Lavindra de Silva, Rachid Alami
Poster Location: B22

Identifying Reusable Primitives in Narrated Demonstrations

Anahita Mohseni-Kabir, Sonia Chernova, Charles Rich
Poster Location: B23

3:00 - 3:48
SESSION F Robots that Learn
Chair: William Smart, Oregon State University

3:00 - 3:12
Discovering Social Interaction Strategies for Robots from Restricted-Perception Wizard-of-Oz Studies

Pedro Sequeira, INESC-ID
Patrícia Alves-Oliveira, INESC-ID
Eugenio Di Tullio, INESC-ID
Tiago Ribeiro, INESC-ID
Sofia Petisca, INESC-ID
Francisco S. Melo, INESC-ID
Ana Paiva, INESC-ID

In this paper we propose a methodology for the creation of social interaction
strategies for human-robot interaction based on restricted-perception Wizard-of-Oz studies (WoZ). This novel experimental technique involves restricting the wizard’s perceptions over the environment and the behaviors it controls according to the robot’s inherent perceptual and acting limitations. Within our methodology, the robot’s design life-cycle is divided into three consecutive phases, namely data collection, where we perform interaction studies to extract expert knowledge and interaction data; strategy extraction, where a hybrid strategy controller for the robot is learned based on the gathered data; strategy refinement, where the controller is iteratively evaluated and adjusted. We developed a fully-autonomous robotic tutor based on the proposed approach in the context of a collaborative learning scenario. The results of the evaluation study show that, by performing restricted-perception WoZ studies, our robots are able to engage in very natural and socially-aware interactions.

3:12 - 3:24
Incrementally Assisted Kinesthetic Teaching for Programming by Demonstration

Martin Tykal, Aalto Univ.
Alberto Montebelli, Univ. of Skovde
Ville Kyrki, Aalto Univ.

Kinesthetic teaching is an established method of teaching robots new skills without requiring robotics or programming knowledge. However, the inertia and uncoordinated motions of individual joints decrease the intuitiveness and naturalness of interaction and impair the quality of the learned skill. This paper proposes a method to ease kinesthetic teaching by combining the idea of incremental learning through warping several demonstrations into a common frame with virtual tool dynamics to assist the user during teaching. In fact, during a sequence of demonstrations the stiffness of the robot under Cartesian impedance control is gradually increased, to provide stronger assistance to the user based on the demonstrations accumulated up to that moment.

Therefore, the operator has the opportunity to progressively refine the task’s model while the robot more docilely follows the learned action. Robot experiments and a user study performed on 25 novice users show that the proposed approach improves both usability as well as resulting skill quality.

3:24 - 3:36
A Comparison of Types of Robot Control for Programming by Demonstration

Kerstin Fischer, Univ. Southern Denmark
Franziska Kirstein, Blue Ocean Robotics
Lars Jensen, Univ. Southern Denmark
Norbert Krüger, USD
Kamil Kukliński, Bialystok Univ. of Tech.
Maria Vanessa aus der Wieschen, USD
Thiusius Rajeeth, Savarimuthu, USD

Programming by Demonstration (PbD) is an efficient way for non-experts to teach new skills to a robot. PbD can be carried out in different ways, for instance, by kinesthetic guidance, teleoperation or by using external controls. In this paper, we compare these three ways of controlling a robot in terms of efficiency, effectiveness (success and error rate) and usability. In an industrial assembly scenario, 51 participants carried out peg-in-hole tasks using one of the three control modalities. The results show that
kinesthetic guidance produces the best results. In order to test whether the problems during teleoperation are due to the fact that users cannot, like in kinesthetic guidance, switch between control points using traditional teleoperation devices, we designed a new device that allows users to switch between controls for large and small movements. A user study with 15 participants shows that the novel teleoperation device yields almost as good results as kinesthetic guidance.

3:36 - 3:48 🕒
Learning Object Affordances by Leveraging the Combination of Human-Guidance and Self-Exploration

Vivian Chu, Georgia Tech
Andrea Thomaz, Georgia Tech

Our work focuses on robots to be deployed in human environments. These robots, which will need specialized object manipulation skills, should leverage end-users to efficiently learn the affordances of objects in their environment. This approach is promising because people naturally focus on showing salient aspects of the objects. We replicate prior results and build on them to create a combination of self and supervised learning. We present experimental results with a robot learning 5 affordances on 4 objects using 1219 interactions. We compare three conditions: (1) learning through self-exploration, (2) learning from supervised examples provided by 10 naive users, and (3) self-exploration biased by the user input. Our results characterize the benefits of self and supervised affordance learning and show that a combined approach is the most efficient and successful.

4:00 - 5:00
Demos

Educational Robots as Promotors of Cultural Development

Cuellar Francisco, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú
Penaloza Christian, ATR

Robot Maori Haka - The use of robots as cultural preservationists

Eduardo B. Sandoval, University of Canterbury
Qi Min Ser, University of Canterbury
Rudhru Omprakash, University of Canterbury

PaperBot: The Intelligent Paper Toy

Sonya S. Kwak, Ewha Womans University
Hyeme Kang, Ewha Womans University
Hyewon Lee, Ewha Womans University
Chenghuan Wu, Ewha Womans University

Compliant and Compact Joint Mechanism for a Child Android Robot

Ishihara Hisashi, Osaka University

Demonstration of OPSORO - an Open Platform for Social Robots

Cesar Vandevelde, Ghent University
Jelle Saldien, Ghent University
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<td>09:00-10:00</td>
<td><strong>Keynote Speaker</strong> Prof. Robert Sparrow</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td><em>Kicking a Robot Dog</em></td>
</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:18-11:54</td>
<td><strong>Session H</strong> Movement in HRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00-1:00</td>
<td><strong>Lunch</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00-2:00</td>
<td><strong>Session I</strong> Tools and Techniques for Social Robots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00-3:00</td>
<td><strong>Session J</strong> Attitudes and Responses to Social Robots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00-3:30</td>
<td><strong>Coffee Break</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td><strong>Awards</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30-5:00</td>
<td><strong>Closing Comments</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kicking a Robot Dog

Our homes, offices and urban surroundings are carefully built to be inhabited by us, humans. Tools and furniture are designed to be easily manipulated by the human hand. Floors and stairs are modeled for human-sized legs. For robots to work seamlessly in our environments they should have bodies that resemble in shape, size and strength to the human body, and use these with the same dexterity and reactivity.

This talk will provide an overview of techniques developed at LASA to enable robust, fast and flexible manipulation. Learning is guided by human demonstrations. Robust manipulation is achieved through sampling over distributions of feasible grasps.

Smooth exploration leverages on complete tactile sensing coverage and learned variable impedance models. Bi-manual coordination offers ways to exploit the entire robot’s workspace.

Imprecise positioning and sensing is overcome using active compliant strategies, similar to that displayed by humans when facing situations with high uncertainty.

The talk will conclude with examples in which robots achieve super-human capabilities for catching fast moving objects with a dexterity that exceeds that of human beings.
social availability has verbal and nonverbal components. Prior work has shown that greater availability in the nonverbal behaviour of a robot tutor has a positive impact on child learning. This paper presents a study with 67 children to explore how social aspects of a tutor robot’s speech influences their perception of the robot and their language learning in an interaction. Children perceive the difference in social behaviour between ‘low’ and ‘high’ verbal availability conditions, and improve significantly between a pre- and a post-test in both conditions. A longer-term retention test taken the following week showed that the children had retained almost all of the information they had learnt. However, learning was not affected by which of the robot behaviours they had been exposed to. It is suggested that in this short-term interaction context, additional effort in developing social aspects of a robot’s verbal behaviour may not return the desired positive impact on learning gains.

10:42 - 10:54
Building Successful Long Child-Robot Interactions in a Learning Context

Alexis Jacq, EPFL
Séverin Lemaignan, EPFL
Fernando Garcia, EPFL
Pierre Dillenbourg, EPFL
Ana Paiva, Instituto Superior Tecnico

The CoWriter activity involves a child in a rich and complex interaction where he has to teach handwriting to a robot. The robot must convince the child it needs his help and it actually learns from his lessons. To keep the child engaged, the robot must learn at the right rate, not too fast otherwise the kid will have no opportunity for improving his skills and not too slow otherwise he may loose trust in his ability to improve the robot’s skills. We tested this approach in real pedagogic/therapeutic contexts with children in difficulty over repeated long sessions (40-60 min). Through 3 different case studies, we explored and refined experimental designs and algorithms in order for the robot to adapt to the troubles of each child and to promote their motivation and self confidence.

We report positive observations, suggesting commitment of children to help the robot, and their comprehension that they were good enough to be teachers, overcoming their initial low confidence with handwriting.

10:54 - 11:06
Shaping Productive Help-Seeking Behavior During Robot-Child Tutoring Interactions

Aditi Ramachandran, Yale Univ.
Alexandru Litoiu, Yale Univ.
Brian Scassellati, Yale Univ.

In intelligent tutoring systems, one fundamental problem that limits learning gains is the unproductive use of on-demand help features, namely overuse or aversion, resulting in students misusing the system rather than engaging in active learning. Social robots as tutoring agents have the potential to mitigate those behaviors by actively shaping productive help-seeking behaviors. We hypothesize that effectual help-seeking behavior is a critical contributor to learning gains in a robot-child tutoring interaction. We conduct a between-subjects study where children interacted with a social robot solving fractions problems over multiple sessions (29 children; 4 sessions per child) in one of two groups. Results showed that participants in our experimental group, who received adaptive shaping strategies from
the robot targeting suboptimal help requests, reduced their suboptimal behaviors over time significantly more than a control group, as well as improved their scores from pretest to post test significantly more than a control group.

We find (1) that social presence is significantly higher with a social voice-adaptive speech interface than with purely social dialogue, and (2) that females feel significantly more rapport and are significantly more persistent in interactions with a robotic learning companion than males.

11:06 - 11:18
Effects of Voice-Adaptation and Social Dialogue on Perceptions of a Robotic Learning Companion
Nichola Lubold, ASU
Erin Walker, ASU
Heather Pon-Barry, Mount Holyoke College
With a growing number of applications involving social human-robot interactions, there is an increasingly important role for socially responsive speech interfaces that can effectively engage the user. For example, learning companions provide both task-related feedback and motivational support for students with the goal of improving learning. As a learning companion’s ability to be socially responsive increases, so do learning outcomes.

This paper presents a socially responsive speech interface for an embodied, robotic learning companion. We explore two methods of social responsiveness. The first method introduces social responses into the dialogue, while the second method augments these responses with voice-adaptation based on acoustic-prosodic entrainment.

We evaluate the effect of a social, voice-adaptive robotic learning companion on social variables such as social presence and rapport, and we compare this to a companion with only social dialogue and one with neither social dialogue nor voice-adaptions.

We contrast the effects against those of individual factors, such as gender.

11:18 - 11:54
SESSION H
Movement in HRI
Chair: Dylan Glas, ATR

11:18 - 11:30
Emotion Encoding in Human-Drone Interaction
Jessica Cauchard, Stanford Univ.
Kevin Zhai, Stanford Univ.
Marco Spadafora, Politecnico di Milano
James Landay, Stanford Univ.
Drones are becoming more popular and may soon be ubiquitous. As they enter our everyday environments, it becomes critical to ensure their usability through natural Human-Drone Interaction (HDI). Previous work in Human-Robot Interaction (HRI) shows that adding an emotional component is part of the key to success in robots’ acceptability. We believe the adoption of personal drones would also benefit from adding an emotional component. This work defines a range of personality traits and emotional attributes that can be encoded in drones through their flight paths. We present a user study (N=20) and show how well three defined emotional states can be recognized. We draw conclusions
on interaction techniques with drones and feedback strategies that use the drone’s flight path and speed.

11:30 - 11:42
Viewpoint-Based Legibility Optimization

Stefanos Nikolaidis, CMU
Anca Dragan, Berkeley
Siddhartha Srinivasa, CMU

Much robotics research has focused on intent-expressive (legible) motion. However, algorithms that can autonomously generate legible motion have implicitly made the strong assumption of an omniscient observer, with access to the robot’s configuration as it changes across time. In reality, human observers have a particular viewpoint, which biases the way they perceive the motion. In this work, we free robots from this assumption and introduce the notion of an observer with a specific point of view into legibility optimization. In doing so, we account for two factors: (1) depth uncertainty induced by a particular viewpoint, and (2) occlusions along the motion, during which (part of) the robot is hidden behind some object. We propose viewpoint and occlusion models that enable autonomous generation of viewpoint-based legible motions, and show through large-scale user studies that the produced motions are significantly more legible compared to those generated assuming an omniscient observer.

11:42 - 11:54
Homotopy-Aware RRT* Toward Human-Robot Topological Path-Planning

Daqing Yi, BYU
Michael Goodrich, BYU
Kevin Seppi, BYU

An important problem in human-robot interaction is for a human to be able to tell the robot go to a particular location with instructions on how to get there or what to avoid on the way. This paper provides a solution to problems where the human wants the robot not only to optimize some objective but also to honor “soft” or “hard” topological constraints, i.e. “go quickly from A to B while avoiding C”. The paper presents the HARRT* (homotopy-aware RRT*) algorithm, which is a computationally scalable algorithm that a robot can use to plan optimal paths subject to the information provided by the human. The paper provides a theoretic justification for the key property of the algorithm, proposes a heuristic for RRT*, and uses a set of simulation case studies of the resulting algorithm to make a case for why these properties are compatible with the requirements of human-robot interactive path-planning.
An Open Platform for the Design of Social Robot Embodiments for Face-to-Face Communication

Cesar Vandevelde, Ghent Univ.
Jelle Saldien, create

The role of the physical embodiment of a social robot is of key importance during the interaction with humans. If we want to study the interactions we need to be able to change the robot’s embodiment to the nature of the experiment. Nowadays, researchers build one-off robots from scratch or choose to use a commercially available platform. This is justified by the time and budget constraints and the lack of design tools for social robots. In this work, we introduce an affordable open source platform to accelerate the design and production of novel social robot embodiments, with a focus on face-to-face communication. We describe an experiment where Industrial Design students created physical embodiments for 10 new social robots using our platform, detailing the design methodology followed during the different steps of the process. The paper gives an overview of the platform modules used by each of the robots, the skinning techniques employed, as well as the perceived usability of the platform. In summary, we show that our platform (1) enables non-experts to design new social robot embodiments, (2) allows a wide variety of different robots to be built with the same building blocks, and (3) affords itself to being adapted and extended.

Design and Evaluation of a Rapid Programming System for Service Robots

Justin Huang, Univ. of Washington
Tessa Lau, Savioke
Maya Cakmak, Univ. of Washington

This paper introduces CustomPrograms, a rapid programming system for mobile service robots. With CustomPrograms, roboticists can quickly create new behaviors and try unexplored use cases for commercialization. In our system, the robot has a set of primitive capabilities, such as navigating to a location or interacting with users on a touch screen. Users can then compose these primitives with general-purpose programming language constructs like variables, loops, conditionals, and functions. The programming language is wrapped in a graphical interface. This allows inexperienced or novice programmers to benefit from the system as well. We describe the design and implementation of CustomPrograms on a Savioke Relay robot in detail. Based on interviews conducted with Savioke roboticists, designers, and business people, we learned of several potential new use cases for the robot. We characterize our system’s ability to fulfill these use cases. Additionally, we conducted a user study of the interface with Savioke employees and outside programmers. We found that...
experienced programmers could learn to use the interface and create 3 real-world programs during the 90 minute study. Inexperienced programmers were less likely to create complex programs correctly. We provide an analysis of the errors made during the study, and highlight the most common pieces of feedback we received. Two case studies show how the system was used internally at Savioke and at a major trade show.

1:24 - 1:36
Human-Robot Interaction Design Using Interaction Composer: - Eight Years of Lessons Learned

Dylan Glas, ATR
Takayuki Kanda, ATR
Hiroshi Ishiguro, Osaka Univ.

Interaction Composer, a visual programming environment designed to enable programmers and non-programmers to collaboratively design social human-robot interactions in the form of state-based flows, has been in use at our laboratory for eight years. The system architecture and the design principles behind the framework have been presented in other work, but in this paper we take a case-study approach, examining several actual examples of the use of this toolkit over an eight-year period. We examine the structure and content of interaction flows, identify common design patterns, and discuss elements of the framework which have proven valuable, features which did not solve their intended purposes, and ways that future systems might better address these issues. It is hoped that the insights gained from this study will contribute to the development of more effective and more usable tools and frameworks for interaction design.

1:36 - 1:48
Situated Open World Reference Resolution for Human-Robot Dialogue

Tom Williams, Tufts Univ.
Saurav Achary, Tufts Univ.
Stephanie Schreitter, Austrian Research Inst. for AI
Matthias Scheutz, Tufts Univ.

A robot participating in natural dialogue with a human interlocutor may need to discuss, reason about, or initiate actions concerning dialogue-referenced entities. To do so, the robot must first identify or create new representations for those entities, a capability known as reference resolution. We previously presented algorithms for resolving references occurring in definite noun phrases. In this paper we present GH-POWER: an algorithm for resolving references occurring in a wider array of linguistic forms, by making novel extensions to the Givenness Hierarchy, and evaluate GH-POWER on natural task-based human-human and human-robot dialogue.

1:48 - 2:00
An Implemented Theory of Mind to Improve Human-Robot Shared Plans Execution

Sandra Devin, LAAS-CNRS
Rachid Alami, LAAS-CNRS

When a robot has to execute a shared plan with a human, a number of unexpected situations and contingencies can happen due, essentially, to human initiative. For instance, a temporary absence or inattention of the human can entail a partial, and potentially not sufficient, knowledge about the current situation. To ensure a successful and fluent execution of the shared plan the robot might need to detect such
situations and be able to provide the information to its human partner about what he missed without being annoying or intrusive. To do so, we have developed a framework which allows a robot to estimate the other agents mental states not only about the environment but also about the state of goals, plans and actions and to take them into account when executing human-robot shared plans.

2:00 - 3:00
SESSION J
Attitudes and Responses to Social Robots
Chair: Mark Neerincx, Delft University of Technology

2:00 - 2:12
Human-Robots Implicit Communication based on Dialogue between Robots using Automatic Generation of Funny Scenarios from Web
Ryo Mashimo, Konan Univ.
Tomohiro Umetani, KU
Tatsuya Kitamura, KU
Akiyo Nadamoto, KU

Numerous studies have examined communication robots that communicate with people, but it is difficult for robots to communicate with people smoothly. We call the communication style based on dialogue between robots as “human-robot implicit communication”. As described herein, we propose a Manzai-robots for which the interaction style is human-robot implicit communication based on an automatically generated scenario from web news. Our generated Manzai scenario consists of snappy patter and a misunderstanding of dialogue based on the four kinds of gap of structure of funny points. Our purpose is that people feel familiarity from smoothly human-robot communication using dialogue between robots based on a Manzai scenario. We conducted experiment of three kinds to assess (1) the effectiveness of automatic creation of Manzai scenario for the robots, (2) the effectiveness of the Manzai-robots as a media, and (3) the effectiveness of types of familiarity for Manzai-robots. Based on their results, we measured the familiarity and smooth communication of our Manzai-robots.

2:12 - 2:24
Do People Spontaneously Take a Robot’s Visual Perspective?
Xuan Zhao, Brown Univ.
Corey Cusimano, Brown Univ.
Bertram F. Malle, Brown Univ.

Visual perspective taking plays a fundamental role in both human-human interaction and human-robot interaction (HRI). In three exWwr what conditions, people spontaneously take a robot’s visual perspective. Using two different robot models, we found that specific behaviors performed by a robot—namely, object directed gaze and goal-directed reaching—led many human viewers to take the robot’s visual perspective, though slightly fewer than when the same behaviors
were performed by a person. However, we found no difference in people’s perspective-taking tendency toward robots that differed in their human-likeness. Also, reaching became an especially effective perspective-taking trigger when it was displayed in a video rather than in a photograph. Taken together, these findings suggest that certain nonverbal behaviors in robots are sufficient to trigger the mechanism of mental state attribution—visual perspective taking in particular—in human observers. Therefore, people’s spontaneous perspective-taking tendencies should be taken into account when designing intuitive and effective human-centered robots.

2:24 - 2:36
The Hollywood Robot Syndrome: Media Effects on Older Adults’ Attitudes toward Robots and Adoption Intentions

S. Shyam Sundar, Penn State
T. Franklin Waddell, Penn State
Eun Hwa Jung, Penn State

Do portrayals of robots in popular films influence older adults’ robot anxiety and adoption intentions? Informed by cultivation theory, disposition theory and the technology acceptance model, the current survey (N = 379) examined how past exposure to robots in the media affect older adults’ (Mage = 66) anxiety towards robots and their subsequent perceptions of robot usefulness, ease of use, and adoption intentions. The results of a structural equation model (SEM) analysis indicate that the higher the number of media portrayals recalled, the lower the anxiety towards robots. Furthermore, recalling robots with a human-like appearance or robots that elicit greater feelings of sympathy was related to more positive attitudes towards robots. Theoretical and practical implications of these results for the design of socially assistive robots for older adults are discussed.

2:36 - 2:48
Are We Ready for Sex Robots?

Matthias Scheutz, Tufts Univ.
Thomas Arnold, Tufts Univ.

Sex robots are gaining a remarkable amount of attention in current discussions about technology and the future of human relationships. To help understand what kinds of relationships people will have with these robots, empirical data about people’s views of sex robots is a necessary contribution to these debates. We report the results of the first systematic survey that asks about the appropriateness and value of sex robots, acceptable forms they can take, and the degree to which using them counts as sex. The results show a consistent difference in the uses for which women and men found sex robots to be appropriate, with women less and men more inclined to consider them socially useful. We also found convergences on what sex robots are like and how sex with them is to be classified, suggesting that larger views about relationships and society, not just understandings of sex robots themselves, should be a matter for more research and thus frame future work on the ethics of sex robots.
Overcoming the Uncanny Valley: Displays of Emotions Reduce the Uncanniness of Humanlike Robots

Miriam Koschate, Univ. of Exeter
Richard Potter, Univ. of Exeter
Paul Bremner, Univ. of Exeter
Mark Levine, Univ. of Exeter

In this paper we show empirically that highly humanlike robots make thoughts of death more accessible, leading to perceptions of uncanniness and eeriness of such robots. Rather than reducing the humanlikeness of robots, our research suggests the addition of emotion displays to decrease a sense of uncanniness. We show that a highly humanlike robot displaying emotions in a social context reduces death-thought accessibility (DTA), which in turn reduces uncanniness. In a pre-test with N = 95 participants, we established that not all humanoid robots elicit thoughts of death and that the extent to which a robot appears humanlike may be linked to DTA. In our Main Study, N = 44 participants briefly interacted with a highly humanlike robotic head that either showed appropriate basic emotions or reacted by blinking. The display of emotions significantly reduced perceptions of uncanniness, which was mediated by a corresponding reduction in DTA. Implications for the design of humanoid robots are proposed.

Late Breaking Report Session 3

Assessing the Elderly’s Communication Attitude based on Prosodic Information and Head Motions
Toshiki Yamanaka, Yutaka Takase, Yukiko Nakano
Poster Location: B1

Interactive Robotic Framework for Multi-sensory Therapy for Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder
Hyung Jung Kim, JongWon Lee, Ariana Rennie, Rachael Bevill, Chung Hyuk Park, Myounghoon Jeon, Ayanna Howard
Poster Location: B2

Effects of framing a robot as a social agent or as a machine on children’s social behavior
Jacqueline Kory Westlund, Marayna Martinez, Maryam Archie, Madhurima Das, Cynthia Breazeal
Poster Location: B3

Modeling of Honest Signals for Human Robot Interaction
Muhammad Attamimi, Yusuke Katakami, Kasumi Abe, Takayuki Nagai, Tomoaki Nakamura
Poster Location: B4

Are You Messing with Me? Querying about the Sincerity of Interactions in the Open World
Sean Andrist, Dan Bohus, Zhou Yu, Eric Horvitz
Poster Location: B5
How Expressiveness of a Robotic Tutor is Perceived by Children in a Learning Environment

Amol Deshmukh, Helen Hastie, Srinivasan Janarthanam, Meiyii Lim, Ruth Aylett

Poster Location: B6

The birth of a new discipline: Robotology. A First Robotologist Study over a Robot Maori Haka.

Eduardo B. Sandoval, Qi Min Ser, Omprakash Rudhru

Poster Location: B7

Sensor Box – designing interactive tool for sensory therapy

Igor Zubrycki, Grzegorz Granosik

Poster Location: B8

Providing a Robot with Learning Abilities Improves its Perception by Users

Emmanuel Senft, Paul Baxter, James Kennedy, Séverin Lemaignan, Tony Belpaeme

Poster Location: B9

What Untrained People Do When Asked Make The Robot Come To You

Shokoofeh Pourmehr, Jack Thomas, Richard Vaughan

Poster Location: B10

A Pilot Study about Remote Teaching by Elderly People to Children over a Two-way Telepresence Robot System

Erina Okamura, Fumihide Tanaka

Poster Location: B11

Hand in Hand: Tools and techniques for understanding children's touch with a social robot

Kristyn Hensby, Janet Wiles

Poster Location: B12

Soft Systems Methodology as a Tool to Aid a Pilot Study in Robot-Assisted Therapy

Lundy Lewis, Nancy Charron, Chris Clamp, Mike Craig

Poster Location: B13

Crowdsourced Coordination Through Online Games

Arash Tavakoli, Haig Nalbandian, Nora Ayanian

Poster Location: B14

Improving Robot Reactivity to Passers-by with a Faster People Detector

Alhayat Ali Mekonnen, Frederic Lerasle, Ariane Herbulot

Poster Location: B15

You Win, I Lose: Towards Adapting Robot’s Teaching Strategy

Serik Meiirbekov, Kairat Balkibekov, Zhandarbek Jalankuzov, Anara Sandygulova

Poster Location: B16

Japanese students apply same moral norms to humans and robot agents: Considering a moral HRI in terms of different cultural and academic backgrounds

Takanori Komatsu

Poster Location: B17

Analysis of Long-Term and Large-Scale Experiments on Robot Dialogues Using a Cloud Robotics Platform

Komei Sugiura, Koji Zettsu

Poster Location: B18
Improving Social Skills of Robot for Triggering Distant Informal Communication in the Office

Jianfeng Xu, Inge Becht, Shigeyuki Sakazawa
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A large scale tactile sensor for safe mobile robot manipulation

Markus Fritzsche, Jose Saenz, Felix Penzlin

*Poster Location: B20*

---

Socially Contingent Humanoid Robot Head Behaviour Results in Increased Charity Donations

Paul Wills, Paul Baxter, James Kennedy, Emmanuel Senft, Tony Belpaeme

*Poster Location: B21*

---

How Robot’s Animacy Affects Human Tolerance for their Malfunctions?

Tomoko Koda, Yuta Nishimura, Tomofumi Nishijima

*Poster Location: B22*
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Natural Interactions using Social Cues for Affordance Communication during Human-Robot Collaboration

Hugo Romat, Mary-Anne Williams, Xun Wang, Benjamin Johnston, Henry Bard

*Poster Location: B23*
Appendix

HRI 2016 Sponsors and Supporters

Sponsors

In Cooperation with

Gold Supporter

Silver Supporter

Bronze Supporter
Organizing Committees

General Co-Chairs
Christoph Bartneck, University of Canterbury, NZ
Yukie Nagai, Osaka University, Japan

Program Co-Chairs
Ana Paiva, University of Lisbon, Portugal
Selma Šabanović, Indiana University, USA

Financial Co-Chairs
David-Feil Seifer, University of Nevada, USA
Sonia Chernova, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA

Local Arrangements Co-Chairs
Ho Seok Ahn, University of Auckland, NZ
Kumar Yogeeswaran, University of Canterbury, New Zealand

Publication Co-Chairs
Adriana Tapus, ENSTA-ParisTech, France
Mihoko Otake, Chiba University, Japan

Late-Breaking Reports Co-Chairs
Omar Mubin, University of Western Sydney, Australia
Mohammad Obaidm, Chalmers University, Sweden

Workshop and Tutorial Co-Chairs
Bruce MacDonald, University of Auckland, NZ
Hagen Lehmann, Italian Institute of Technology, Italy

Video Co-Chairs
Cindy Bethel, Mississippi State University, USA
Komei Suguira, NICT, Japan

Demonstration Co-Chairs
Frank Broz, Plymouth University, UK
Hisashi Ishihara, Osaka University, Japan

Alt.HRI Co-Chairs
James Young, University of Manitoba, Canada
Malte Jung, Cornell University, USA
Friederike Eyssel, Bielefeld University, Germany

Publicity Co-Chairs
Laurel Riek, University of Notre Dame, USA
Katrin Lohan, Herriot-Watt University, UK
Masahiro Shiomi, ATR, Japan

Fundraising Co-Chairs
Daisuke Sakamoto, University of Tokyo, Japan
Jennifer Burke, Boeing Research and Technology, USA

Telerobots Co-Chairs
Maya Cakmak, University of Washington, USA
Jenay Beer, University of South Carolina, USA

Web Chair
Daniel Rea, University of Manitoba, Canada
Steering Committee

Chairs

Holly Yanco, University of Massachusetts Lowell, USA
Jeonghye Han, Cheongju National University of Education, Korea

Steering Committee

Julie Adams, Vanderbilt University, USA
Kai Oliver, Arras, Freiburg University, Germany
Christoph Bartneck, University of Canterbury, New Zealand
Cindy Bethel, Mississippi State University, USA
Maya Cakmak, University of Washington, USA
Sonia Chernova, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
David Feil-Seifer, University of Nevada, USA
Sara Kiesler, Carnegie Mellon University, USA
Sonya Kwak, Ewha Women’s University, Korea
Bilge Mutlu, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA
Yukie Nagai, University of Osaka, Japan
Ana Maria Paiva, Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal
Angelika Peer, University of Bristol, UK
Laurel Riek, University of Notre Dame, USA
Selma Šabanović, Indiana University, USA
Bill Smart, Oregon State University, USA
Leila Takayama, Google, USA
Fumihide Tanaka, University of Tokyo, Japan
Manfred Tscheligi, University of Salzburg, Austria
Astrid Weiss, University of Vienna, Austria
James Young, University of Manitoba, Canada

Program Committee

Rachid Alami, LAAS/CNRS
Jenay Beer, University of South Carolina
Tony Belpaeme, Plymouth University
Cindy Bethel, Mississippi State University
Elizabeth Broadbent, University of Auckland
Ginevra Castellano, Uppsala University
Anca Dragan, UC Berkeley
Vanessa Evers, University of Twente
Kerstin Fischer, University of Southern Denmark
Dylan Gla, ATR
Bradley Hayes, Yale University
Guy Hoffman, IDC Herzliya
Wendy Ju, Stanford University
Malte Jung, Cornell University
Takayuki Kanda, Osaka University
Min Kyung Lee, Carnegie Mellon University
Iolanda Leite, Yale University
Séverin Lemaignan, Plymouth University
Manja Lohse, University of Twente
Mark Neerincx, Delft University of Technology
Tatsuya Nomura, Ryukoku University
Laurel Riek, University of Notre Dame
Maha Salem, Google
Julie Shah, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Candace Sidner, Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Reid Simmons, Carnegie Mellon University
Siddhartha Srinivasa, Carnegie Mellon University
Leila Takayama, Google X
Fumihide Tanaka, University of Tsukuba
Andrea Thomaz, Georgia Institute of Technology
Greg Trafton, Naval Research Laboratory
Astrid Weiss, Vienna University of Technology
James Young, University of Manitoba
HRI 2016 Reviewers

Julie Adams  
Henny Admoni  
Muneeb Ahmad  
Ho Seok Ahn  
Baris Akgun  
Samer Al Moubayed  
Jordi Albo-Canals  
Samer Alfayad  
Ron Alterovitz  
Patricia Alves-Oliveira  
Ureche Ana-Lucia  
Sean Andrist  
Salvatore Maria Anzalone  
Yannick Aostin  
Dante Arroyo  
Peter Asaro  
Maria Vanessa aus der Wieschen  
Ruth Aylett  
Eduardo B. Sandoval  
Wilma Bainbridge  
Markus Bajones  
Adrian Ball  
Emilia Barakova  
Wolmet Barendregt  
Teodiano Bastos  
Timo Baumann  
Paul Baxter  
Christian Becker-Asano  
Momotaz Begum  
Aman Behal  
Tony Belpaeme  
Casey Bennett  
Nadia Berthouze-Bianchi  
Tim Bickmore  
Gurit E. Birnbaum  
Mriganka Biswas  
Laura Boccanfuso  
Byron Boots  
Jaime Borisoff  
Halim Boukaram  
Paul Bremner  
Daniel Brown  
Drazen Brsic  
Magdalena Bugajska  
Andrea Bunt  
John-John Cabibihan  
Angelo Cangelosi  
Hoang-Long Cao  
Tom Carlson  
Daniel Carruth  
Elizabeth Carter  
Daniel Cassenti  
Oya Celiktutan  
Elizabeth Cha  
Wan-Ling Chang  
Vicky Charisi  
Marcus Cheetham  
Tiffany Chen  
Jessie Chen  
Xiaoping Chen  
Kangwei Chen  
EunJeong Cheon  
Sonia Chernova  
Pauline Chevalier  
Wayne Chung  
Matei Ciocarlie  
Aurelie Clodic  
Cristina Costescu  
Eva Coupete  
Henriette Cramer  
Jacob Crandall  
Christopher Crick  
Elizabeth Croft  
Joseph Crompton  
Rebecca Currano  
Luisa Damiano  
Kate Darling  
Maartje de Graaf  
Joachim de Greeff  
Ravindra De Silva  
Pasquale Dente  
Munjal Desai  
Mehmet Dogar  
Lorin Dole  
Christian Dondrup  
Brittany Duncan  
Stefanie Duyck  
Kerstin Eder  
Ehsan Esfahani  
Charles Ess  
Friederike Eyssel  
David Feil-Seifer  
François Ferland  
Isabelle Ferrane  
João Filipe Ferreira  
Gabriel Ferrer  
Thomas Fincannon  
Michelangelo Fiore  
Humbert Fiorino  
Jodi Forlizzi  
Deborah Forster  
Philippe Fraisse  
Marlena Fraune  
Susan Fusseil  
Fei Gao  
Teena Garrison  
Dan Gartenberg  
Thibault Gateau  
Denise Geiskkovich  
Guillaume Gibert  
Michael Gleicher  
David Goeddicke  
Matthew Gombolay  
Pablo Gomez Esteban  
Michael Gonzales  
Michael Goodrich  
Goren Gordon  
Michal Gordon  
Jeremy Goslin  
Jonathan Gratch  
Jillian Greczek  
Shane Griffith  
Tom Griffths  
Sascha Griffiths  
Elena Corina Grigore  
Daniel Grollman  
Rebecca Gulotta  
Hatice Gunes  
Sami Haddadin  
Sherrie Hall  
Jaap Ham  
Jeonghye Han  
Jeff Hancock  
Marc Hanheide  
Bruce Hanington  
Maaike Harbers  
Caroline Harriott  
Anthony Harrison  
Justin Hart  
Pim Haselager  
Beatrice Hasler  
Helen Hastie  
Kris Hauser  
Cory J. Hayes  
Zachary Henkel  
Damith C Herath  
Laura Hiatt  
Koen Hindriks  
Shivayogi Hiremath  
Jens Hoefinghoff  
Guy Hoffman  
Laura Hoffmann  
Rachel Holladay  
Thomas Howard  
Ayanna Howard  
David Hsu  
Chien-Ming Huang  
Justin Huang  
Lixiao Huang  
Michita Imai  
Tariq Iqbal  
Carlos Ishi
Hisashi Ishihara
Serena Ivaldi
Alexis Jacq
David L. Jaffe
Shervin Javadani
Chandimal Jayawardena
Chad Jenkins
Lars Christian Jensen
Natalie Jeremijenko
Wafa Johal
Michiel Joosse
Céline Jost
Daphne Karreman
Jun Kato
James Kennedy
Ngaire Kerse
Harmish Khambhaita
Cory Kidd
Sara Kiesler
Joseph Kim
Yunhyung Kim
Alexandra Kirsch
Franziska Kirstein
Andrey Kiselev
Ross A. Knepper
Heather Knight
Kheng Lee Koay
Thomas Kollar
Takanori Komatsu
Hema Koppula
Jacqueline Kory Westlund
Hatice Kose-Bagci
Tomasz Kosinski
Nicole Krame, Hadas Kress-Gazit
Annica Kristoffersson
Ivana Krujff
Dennis Krupke
Katherine Kuchenbecker
Hideaki Kuzuoka
Lara Lammer
Walter Lasecki
Przemyslaw Lasota
Joseph LaViola
Ed Lawson
Daniel Lazewatsky
Hee Rin Lee
Jill Lehman
Hagen Lehmann
Séverin Lemaignan
Christophe Leroux
Jamy Li
Karina Liles
Hong Liu
Sara Ljungblad
Karen Lochbaum
Katrin Lohan
Monika Lohani
Manja Lohse
Ramon Lopez de Mantaras
Tamara Lorenz
Robert Lowe
David Lu
Jim Mainprice
Maxim Makatchev
Bertram Malle
Jennifer Marlow
Nikolas Martelaro
Samuel Mascarenhas
Marissa McCoy
William McMillan
Ross Mead
Alhayat Ali Mekonnen
Francisco S. Melo
Helena Mentis
Tekin Mericli
Cetin Mericli
David Miller
Gregoire Milliez
Gregory Mills
Takashi Minato
Nicole Mirig
Tracy Mitzner
Boris Mocialov
Brian Mok
AJung Moon
Maryam Moosaei
Luís Yoichi Morales
Saiki Lila Moshkina
Omar Mubin
Katharina Muelling
Bilge Mutlu
Arne Muxfeldt
Sasanka Nagavalli
Hideyuki Nakanishi
Goldie Nejat
Erik Nelson
Carman Neustaedter
Monica Nicolescu
Oliver Niebuhr
Stefanos Nikolaidis
Ilana Nisky
Verena Nitsch
Tatsuya Nomura
Rony Novianto
David Nunez
Mohammad Obaid
Petter Ogren
Suman Ojha
Billy Okal
Eric M. Orendt
Jason Orlosky
Hirotaka Osawa
Caroline P. Carvalho Chanel
Nicholas Paday
Amit Kumar Pandey
Hae Won Park
Chung Hyuk Park
Josef Pauli
Marieke Peeters
Catherine Pelachaud
Andre Pereira
Claudia Perez-D’Arpino
Kathy Peri
Joshua Peschel
Kim Peters
Keith Petrie
Karola Pitsch
Gregoire Pointeau
Heather Pon-Barry
Cedric Pradallier
Irene Rae
Aditi Ramachandran
Josué Ramos
Daniel Rea
Robin Read
Janet Read
Christopher Read
Jorge Alberto Rios Martinez
Lionel Robert
Hayley Robinson
Wendy Rogers
Katharina Rohlfing
Javier Romero
Stephanie Rosenthal
Astrid Rosenthal-von der Putten
Silvia Rossi
Selma Šabanović
Daisuke Sakamoto
Eduardo B. Sandoval
Call For Papers, Videos, Tutorials/Workshops

“Smart Interaction”

HRI 2017 is the 12th Annual Conference for basic and applied human-robot interaction research. Researchers from across the world attend and submit their best work to HRI to exchange ideas about the latest theories, technology, data, and videos furthering the state-of-the-art in human-robot interaction.

Each year, the HRI Conference highlights a particular area through a theme. The theme of HRI 2017 is “Smart Interaction,” following Vienna’s “Smart City” initiative. The conference seeks contributions from a broad set of perspectives, including technical, design, methodological, behavioral, and theoretical, that advance fundamental and applied knowledge and methods in human-robot interaction. We particularly invite papers on work that “enables” human-robot interaction through new technical advances, novel robot designs, new guidelines for design, and advanced methods for understanding and evaluating interaction.

The HRI Conference is a highly selective annual international conference that aims to showcase the very best interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary research in human-robot interaction with roots in and broad participation from communities that include but not limited to robotics, human-computer interaction, human factors, artificial intelligence, engineering, and social and behavioral sciences.

Sponsors

For more information, visit: humanrobotinteraction.org/2017/